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Foreword – Carl Wunsch

Many scientists are impatient with, and uninterested in, the history of their subject – and young scientists are universally urged to focus on what is not understood – to “exercise some imagination” – to look forward and not back. Some understanding of the past is, however, essential for progress. In many fields “what everyone knows” is often merely an accident of the then available technology, or the sometimes arbitrary, specific interests of the small number of strong leaders who set the research directions for decades at a time. In this fascinating interview, Hans von Storch and Klaus Hasselmann elicit from Walter Munk the major elements of a career in physical oceanography, geophysics, and war and peace. The story of Munk’s life could readily make a strong character in a novel, although it might be regarded as implausible. Born into a wealthy Jewish banking family in imperial Vienna, he developed (at least as he tells it) into a tennis playing, skiing, ne’er-do-well, exiled to school in rural New York State, following which he did an unsuccessful stint in the family banking business, and migrated to the promised land of California. Employing his undoubted salesmanship skills, he gained a toehold in academia as a Caltech undergraduate.

From there, Walter’s life is the quintessential mid-20th century American scientific success story, but with a continuing dollop of drama: enlistment in the U.S. Army, a stint in the Mountain Ski Troops, and a summons back to civilian life just before Pearl Harbor. (His army unit was almost wiped out in the fighting in New Guinea.) His recall led to the hugely important development of the Sverdrup–Munk wave forecasting system and its success in the North African and Normandy landings – but shadowed by unknown, and unexplained for decades, secret accusations of treachery – with resonances in the hysteria of today. Involvement in the atomic and then hydrogen bomb tests followed, with his life-long involvement in JASON and national security issues. After the War, he developed a sure instinct for the most important scientific problems in physical oceanography and geophysics of his era. Both society and the way science is carried out have changed beyond recognition from the inter-war days of Munk’s earliest career, to a world of jet-aircraft, near-instantaneous electronic communication, and almost unbelievable (from the vantage...
point of 1938) transformations in the observational capabilities and understanding of the ocean we now have. Munk’s adaptability, his skill in moving with the times over the many decades, and his ability to strike right to the heart of problem after problem, comes strongly through here.

Readers of this oral history will come to appreciate Walter’s ability to explain in simple language some of the most esoteric of fluid ocean problems, his sure grasp of observational and theoretical issues, and a sometimes uncanny ability to delineate the essence – that had eluded his predecessors – of a central problem. He has the knack of defining a field in a way that requires decades of subsequent work by others to fully flesh-out, while he himself moves on. One of his explicitly stated themes is that it is more important to ask the right questions than it is to give the right answers. He has managed over and over to do both.

Until the sad loss of Judy in 2006, the expression “Walter and Judy” needed no further explanation for most oceanographers, and for scientists of all flavors, who had encountered this remarkable couple either at home in what seemed like a near-perpetual sequence of lunches, dinners, parties, home theatre productions (Fig. F.1), or in some exotic, but interesting, and one would have guessed, inaccessible-to-a-wheel-chair, city or monument (Machu Picchu, Venice). In their house in La Jolla hangs a double portrait of Walter and Judy back-to-back, facing outward to whatever the world would bring, watching out for each other, for something fun to do together, and ever alert to the well-being of the other (Fig. F.2). There are several stories

Fig. F.1 A dance performance by Jean Isaacs Company at “The Folly.” Judith Munk designed and built the little amphitheater in the early 1990’s in the front yard of Seiche overlooking the Pacific Ocean
here, one man’s exceptionally interesting life; the transformation of the sciences generally, and of physical oceanography particularly, over the past 70 years; the trajectory of military and national security concerns from World War II right up to today; the tension between hysteria and true security risk.

Hans von Storch, a scientist with a clear understanding of the importance of history to scientific development, and Klaus Hasselmann an eminent collaborator of Walter’s, have brought together in this interview the raw material of history. How a young, wealthy playboy immigrant developed into a self-confident dominant scientist of the 20th century, the adviser to generals and admirals, a scientific “wise man” of his generation, while still publishing sharply original science well into his 90s, is an intriguing story eloquently told.
Walter Munk. Finally, a book that celebrates this gentle man; a polite, kind and encouraging man. Finally, a book about this man who would look beyond the instant problem and always see the greater issue. Finally, a story that captures Walter Munk, the leader.

Strange. Strange, in commending this long overdue book to you, that I start by describing Dr. Munk’s character as a human, rather than simply teasing you with a list of his remarkable scientific accomplishments.

This biography describes a whole man: immigrant, student, father, husband, scientist, oceanographer, teacher, patriot, unselfish mentor, and leader. Young men and women who seek models for the full life should read the chapters ahead.

I was scared to death when first invited to the Munk’s home on a bluff splashed by the Pacific’s ceaselessness, just up the coast from the Scripps Institution of Oceanography. I had heard about the magnificent home. I had heard about the engaging company and the doubly engaging conversation there. True and true. What I did not expect was the incredible and obvious respect Dr. Munk and his beloved Judy offered each guest in their welcome and in the inevitable discussions about ocean warming, San Diego zoning, respect for other views, partisan politics or any other contemporary topic.

Yes, I stood in a U.S. Navy uniform on the stage in Kyoto with Walter, as his “second” and as bow tie aide, when he received the Kyoto Prize in 1999 (Fig. F.3). What an honor. While the ceremony was inspiring, it was those engaging dinners with the Munks in La Jolla and the many meetings in which I sat with Walter that were the most stimulating.

To science and the Navy. Count the time from early WWII to the first decade of the 21st century; you will see Walter Munk’s marks everywhere. We are introduced to his skill in helping to develop wave forecasting in WWII leading to techniques used
on D-Day, then to ocean acoustics and other submarine detection techniques in the Cold War, and recently his attention is drawn to Defense and Intelligence contributions to real understanding of the climate issue. In addressing every challenge, Dr. Munk could see the full breadth of an issue and then zero-in on its essence. Pick the problem, he would easily define the budget burden, the talent requirement and the political overhead, and then he would take out his pencil and start “first principles” calculations. He would offer a few well chosen words, always designed to encourage; never to demean. Yet, when it came to the final analysis of the problem, he was uncompromising with his calculations. He was insistent that the best knowledge, principles of physics, and real data would inform decision making. And, he could make his case by a quick calculation. That is why everyone always wants Walter Munk to serve on every advisory panel.

Finally, as I encourage you to read about Dr. Munk here, I suggest you note his open-minded view of relationships. Here was a man intensely interested in national security issues yet thriving in a liberal environment. I think he saw the two missions: enhancing security in a free nation and gaining knowledge about the processes of
our planet as reinforcing, not competing. Here is a true liberal thinker; an open
minded man who takes time to think about the big challenges.

Paul Gaffney
Vice Admiral, U.S. Navy (Ret.)
President, Monmouth University
West Long Branch, New Jersey
Preface

It all began with Markus Jochum approaching one of us (HvS) – “when you guys are doing interviews with senior scientists from oceanography and related sciences, why are you not doing Walter Munk?” Indeed, why not? Walter Munk, an icon in oceanography, had just given a wonderful talk in a symposium in honor of his 90th birthday, sweeping a grand circle from his earliest work with Chip Cox on airborne measurements of ocean surface roughness to the latest satellite data – not simply a review, but the struggle of an active scientist opening up new perspectives – as inspiring and stimulating as when one of us (KH) first met him at the Ocean Waves Conference in Easton in 1961 (Fig. I.1). Walter immediately agreed to share with us his recollections on the nearly seventy years of his path-breaking contributions in a sheer amazing range of topics, from ocean waves, internal waves, ocean currents, tides, tsunamis, sea level, microseisms and the rotation of the earth to ocean acoustic tomography.

With “you guys” Markus was referring to HvS and the various partners HvS had invited to join him in conducting a series of interviews of retired colleagues.1 While HvS was motivated generally in understanding the complex processes by which scientific knowledge was passed from one generation to the next, his colleagues, chosen on the basis of their close professional and personal relationship to the interviewed person, were interested in discovering more about the personalities and motivations that had inspired their mentors.

The conversations with Walter, carried out by interviewers one and two generations his junior, promised to be exceptional in both respects. KH enjoyed four extremely stimulating and formative years from 1961 to 1964 as a young Assistant Professor in Walter Munk’s newly established Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics

1 Scientists interviewed by HvS and colleagues: Klaus Wyrtki, Harry van Loon, Reimar Lüst, Klaus Hasselmann and Hans Hinzpeter – see http://coast.gkss.de/staff/storch/interview.htm
at the Scripps Institution of Oceanography in La Jolla, California. When he later became the director of the newly created Max Planck Institute of Meteorology (MPIM) in Hamburg, in 1975, Walter, in turn, was a frequent visitor to Hamburg as a member of the Institute’s Scientific Advisory Committee. HvS, before becoming director of the Institute for Coastal Oceanography at Geesthacht, near Hamburg, in 2001, was senior scientist at MPIM and had therefore also been exposed to Walter’s influence. Both interviewers have been inspired not only by Walter’s scientific contributions, but even more by his enthusiastic love of science, and his ability to instill his infectious enthusiasm into everyone he worked with. We have both tried to learn from Walter for the work in our own institutes.

So objective reasons for the interview were there a plenty. And the prospect of sitting on Walter’s patio, looking out on the swell running up La Jolla’s beaches, talking about old times, the Groundswell Society and new horizons, was an anticipated added pleasure in which we were not disappointed.

But the discussions with Walter also turned out to be exceptional in another respect. What started off as one of Hans’ interview projects, developed in the course of editing, as Walter came up with more and more stories and anecdotes, and more and more of Walter’s extraordinary scientific career and oceanographic and geophysical explorations surfaced, into a fascinating de facto autobiography. Despite the many
things that have already been written about Walter (for example, when he received the Kyoto Prize award in 1999), we thus believe this extensive account in Walter’s own words is unique. For the non-scientist, some technical aspects of Walter’s many accomplishments may be difficult to fully appreciate, but we believe this personal account of the interweaving of the thrill of scientific discovery with the very human encounters involved in the complex process of discovery will provide equally stimulating reading for the specialist and non-specialist.

Scientific discourse develops like the trunk of a tree. Each year, a new tree ring is formed based on the most recent findings incorporating previous results – from the most recent tree ring, so to speak – and newly established facts and interpretations. Knowledge obtained from earlier research is either encoded or obliterated in present knowledge – continuously transferred from tree ring to tree ring – or forgotten. If something has not been incorporated from cohort to cohort of scientists, it is considered to be irrelevant and of little interest.

Walter’s results have long become an integral part of the community knowledge, of accepted concepts, ubiquitous applied terminology – but who apart from family and friends would really care about the person behind it, his perceptions, and his life-long random walk through a maze of inspiring questions about curious phenomena? Is there more to learn than what has ended up in textbooks, such as the dynamics of the western boundary currents? Is it not so that scientific results are getting de-personalized, detached from the inventor, the discoverer, and the assessor? Actually, other scholars later are usually better in explaining, contextualizing and reducing these new results to their essentials, so why refer to the originals, apart from politeness? Yes, this is true, or may at least often be considered a reasonable approximation of reality – but it represents only one aspect of science. Another, equally important aspect is the process of creating scientific knowledge, as opposed to the body of knowledge itself.

Science is a social process, a cultural process, carried out by individuals in a social and cultural context; in a specific time, with fashions, perceptions, limitations, all this. We find it interesting to reflect upon this process; to see the example set by individuals in generating new knowledge, in what is described in German by the wonderfully precise term “Wissen schaffen.”

Walter Munk is such an individual. His life illustrates how opportunities, luck, good and bad errors, failures, visions, and intuition are needed just as much as determination, openness and humor for making progress. Walter is a prototype of a scientist – a model in terms of results, performance, and humanity. A man who is proud of his successes and aware of his status, but whose modesty, inborn courtesy, generosity, and humor disarm and charm everyone he encounters. Through his open personality he has inspired many younger scientists, while his recollections reveal that he in

---

turn was equally strongly influenced and motivated by the leading oceanographers and geophysicists of his time.

Walter’s life was no doubt conditioned by the big social upheavals in the Old World; first the Nazis, who ensured his staying for good in the U.S., becoming early a U.S. citizen, and a member of the U.S. armed forces; secondly the Communists, the fight against which he supported by responding to the needs of the Navy and as a member of JASON, by advising all U.S. administrations since Kennedy on science and issues of national security. These issues appear throughout the interview and are discussed in detail in the section on the “Navy.”

Walter’s account is also interesting with respect to the role of science in society. The philosophy was set in 1945 by the seminal report *Science – The Endless Frontier* by Vannevar Bush, according to which the researcher was obliged to “produce and share knowledge freely to benefit – in mostly unspecified and long-term ways – the public good.”

3 More specifically, “The centers of basic research... are the well-springs of knowledge and understanding. As long as they are vigorous and healthy and their scientists are free to pursue the truth wherever it may lead, there will be a flow of new scientific knowledge to those who can apply it to practical problems in government, in industry, or elsewhere.”

4 Small basic-science groups, with adequate funding, occasionally brought together in larger ad-hoc coalitions, created the “new knowledge,” not big programs, with detailed a-priori project planning, grandiose but often empty promises, milestones and deliverables, as we know them from monstrous European-Framework projects. Vannevar Bush’s concept stipulated a linear model of the relationship between science and society: basic → applied → development → application → societal benefit, as a more or less automatic assembly line.

The Vannevar-Bush-doctrine was valid throughout most of Walter’s career, but was suspended in the late 1990s, as the call for change in an assessment of the U.S. House illustrates, “*The United States has been operating under a model developed by Vannevar Bush in his 1945 report... It continues to operate under that model with little change. This approach served us very well during the Cold War, because Bush’s science policy was predicated upon serving the military needs of our nation, ensuring national pride in our scientific and technological accomplishments, and developing a strong scientific, technological, and manufacturing enterprise that would serve us well not only in peace but also would be essential for this country in both the Cold War and potential hot wars. With the collapse of the Soviet Union, and*


Modern science studies have informed us that this assembly line is a piece of myth, and does not adequately describes the interaction of science and society.
At that time, Walter was 80, so it did not need to concern him.

Walter Munk belonged to a fortunate generation of scientists who could mostly determine themselves how to pursue their science. As long as it was considered “good science,” everything was fine, because – in the logic of Vannevar Bush – this would eventually lead to useful results, useful for the nation, for the military, for industry. Certainly, not all projects would succeed in this respect, but a sufficiently large percentage of all projects. Thus he is telling us about an approach to science that deviates markedly from contemporary top-down approaches, where it is often the number of publications in *Nature* and *Science* that decide on tenure and careers. Maybe the old model of science is irrevocably gone; maybe there will be some kind of renaissance sometime later.

There are also other things to learn from Walter. He is not talking about university committees, about the need to be present when the purportedly important people meet, when allegedly significant decisions are taken. Instead, he just goes on doing science, thinking about waves, tides, the rotating earth, sound propagation in the ocean – always on the move, from the short term to the long term, always inventing new terminology, always grasping the hidden core of a problem. We have seen many

Fig. I.2 Judith and Walter celebrating their 50th wedding anniversary on the patio at *Seiche*, their self-designed and self-built home north of Scripps Institution of Oceanography (2003). In Austria, Walter’s homeland, country houses were often named. Seiche is an oceanographic term for oscillations of a lake or landlocked sea, however there is no scientific significance here; Judith’s mother chose the name because she liked the sound of the French word. Judith and Walter have welcomed guests from around the world to *Seiche* for over 50 years

---

colleagues who spent the last ten years or so of their career building empires, in asserting importance, who disappeared soon after their inevitable final retirement into oblivion because of lack of substance. For Walter, the issue was always knowledge, and the generation thereof, and not power.

But, there are also characteristics that one cannot learn from Walter, which are simply there: talent. Walter has an uncanny instinct for problems that are ready to be solved. And he invariably drives to the core of a problem, invents new terminology to capture the essence of the solution, and orders the new insights into a remarkably clear mental record of the overall picture. In contrast to, say, Hilbert, who is alleged to have forgotten his seminal publications of only a few years ago, Walter has instant recall and can give a print-ready explanation of all the phenomena on which he has worked – to our continual amazement during the interview.

And, of course, another fact one cannot learn from Walter but only admire: his good fortune in being married to Judith (Fig. I.2), who supported him throughout his career, both with her courage, optimism, and empathy with people, and in her active contributions as creative architect in designing the Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics (IGPP) buildings.

Fig. I.3 Walter’s philosophy in teaching and life has always been “Keep IT Simple!” and “MAKE IT FUN”!
Finally, and above all – we are not sure that this is something that one can learn or simply has to be infected with – Walter has an immense fun in whatever he does. This is surely one of the reasons that he is a happy and joyous man at his youthful 92 years (Fig. I.3).
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Chapter 1
Waves and Wave Spectra

1.1 Oceanographers Learn About Power Spectra

Hasselmann: Many of the topics you spearheaded in oceanography and geophysics were driven by your knowledge of time-series analysis, of spectral analysis and so forth. You were one of the first people to develop a general spectral analysis program, the BOMM (Bullard, Oglebay, Munk, and Miller) system [91]. And you applied that program to explore the ocean wave spectrum. Could you say a few words how you came to become interested in applying these spectral analysis techniques to oceanographic phenomena?

Munk: I first became aware of the problem in connection with wave prediction. During the war a remarkable UK group under George Deacon (later Sir George) had developed an analog method of getting power spectra for waves. Norman Barber from New Zealand did the pioneering work. He recorded on a film half black half white, with a wavy boundary that represented the wave record. The film was viewed through a vertical slit by a photocell whose output was the fraction of white film (the wave elevation). The film was mounted on a spinning wheel that was allowed to slow down by friction. The output of the photocell through a resonant RLC-circuit was recorded on paper. So as the wheel spun down, lower frequencies got into resonance. These were the first power spectra of ocean processes.

Hasselmann: I find this hard to believe. The acousticians knew about power spectra long before then.

Munk: Indeed they did. So did the optical people. They were monitoring in frequency space (pitch and color) in the first place. But I believe there was not a single oceanographer that knew how to handle time series of random phase processes. There were a few clumsy attempts to apply tidal analysis to represent wave records by a few fixed-phase frequencies. We oceanographers depended on Barber’s wheel to lift the low wave frequencies of order 0.1 Hz by four order of magnitudes to the 1000 Hz resonant frequencies of RLC-circuits.
But we were not the only ones who could not handle phase-incoherent processes. Meteorologists were in the same boat. So were seismologists, as we learned rather painfully during the test ban negotiations. So were geologists. But for the geologists random phase wave analysis was not such a useful tool (unless you think of ripples on the sea bottom). It is not fruitful to think of Yosemite’s Half Dome as a random-phase process.

Hasselmann: And how did this eventually get resolved?

Munk: With the advent of digital computers. The earliest attempt was to digitize records and form autocorrelations and their cosine transform [67]. I owed all this to John Tukey, who took me under his wing; John was a Princeton Professor, an active consultant to the Bell Laboratories and a leader in this field. Early on he appreciated that we needed to find a good digital method of getting spectra of random-phase processes. John Tukey was fascinated with new applications and he came out to La Jolla and stayed at our house. At the time (I think 1955) the preferred method was to obtain power spectra by computing cosine transforms of the auto-covariance. (A few years later Tukey was to pioneer the fast Fourier transforms now universally used.) It was during the early days of IBM punch cards, and we wrote a program in machine language and put some wave records on punch cards. Convair, a local airplane company, offered the use of their IBM 650 between 03:00 and 06:00 at no cost. I would get my cards ready at night and drive to downtown San Diego at three in the morning and return at 6 AM with a power spectrum. By 1965 we had

Fig. 1.1 Kendall, Edie, and Judith on the patio at Seiche (1961)
advanced to eight-hole tape, to the delight of my daughters who spread kilometers of black tape throughout our canyon (Fig. 1.1).

I must tell you a related story. Some years later I went to University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA) to compute wave spectra and there was the great man Norbert Wiener doing analyses of brain waves. Someone suggested that Wiener would be interested in what I was doing and I was consequently introduced. Wiener said, “What are you doing, Munk?” and I replied, “I’m computing spectra of ocean waves.” “What method are you using?” I had learned that he was a somewhat vain man, so I said, “I am using the Wiener method.” He said, oh, tell me in detail how you proceed. I said, “Well, we digitize a wave record $x(t)$, so we get $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots$” Wiener interrupted, “You are not taking enough data.” He was of course right.

1.2 Wave Prediction

Hasselmann: So your entry into oceanography was in ocean waves. Could you go back further and tell us how you started in that area?

Munk: I think you are referring to the time when I was working on wave prediction for the Navy. I already had a master’s degree in oceanography; I had spent two summers at Scripps Institution of Oceanography. Then I had served in the U.S. Army for 18 months. My real introduction came when I was working in Washington at the Pentagon on various oceanographic problems. I learned that the allied forces, England and America etc. were planning for a landing in Northwest Africa. This was 1943, about two years after Pearl Harbor. For two years the Allies had responded to Axis initiatives; this was to be the first time that the initiative was on our side.

I asked for permission to witness the preparations. I was permitted to go to the Carolinas and observe some practice landings. The principal equipment was a landing craft called LCVP (for Landing Craft Vehicle and Personnel). LCVP’s are still in existence. These are small landing craft that come into the beach and lower the bow, and people jump out and climb up on the beach. The landing craft was of such nature that if the waves were too high, the craft would tend to broach, turn parallel to shore, and the waves would break over the side and fill the landing craft with water. People would get hurt and the practice landings would be postponed until some later days when the waves were lower. The cut-off height was something like five feet. I returned to Washington to learn something about wave statistics in Northwest Africa. It was clear that during the winter, the proposed landing time, waves were generally higher than five feet. It seemed like a catastrophe about to happen.

Hasselmann: And how did you respond?

Munk: The obvious response was to learn how to predict waves so that one could pick a couple of calm days. As far as I could tell there had been no previous attempt
at wave prediction. The indicated procedure was to divide the subject into three parts: Sea, Swell, Surf. Sea consisted of computing wave dimensions, height and period, as a function of wind speed and storm “fetch,” using weather maps. Swell was to estimate the attenuation as the waves traveled from the storm area to the landing beaches. Surf was to estimate the amplification and transformation of the waves as they came into shallow water.

I spent some weeks learning about the problems and then reported to my superior, Major H.R. Seiwell (an Army Air Corps officer who had studied at Woods Hole), that we should make a major effort at wave prediction. Otherwise the Allies could face the potential of a major disaster. I was twenty-five years old with no track record, and I was dismissed with the comment that “they” must have taken all this into account. (In the intervening sixty or so years I have yet to find out who “they” were.) I telephoned Scripps Director Harald Sverdrup who came on the next plane. We spent a month working on the problem. He concluded that it could be done. Sverdrup was a world-renowned oceanographer and polar explorer, and his advice was followed. We worked out a crude method, very crude, based on empirical published data, mostly using dimensional consideration. Eventually two relatively calm days were picked for the landing. The landing was successful.

There was a large element of luck. Prior to the landing we were desperate to test the prediction formalism. It turned out that Pan-American Airways had landed seaplanes in the Azores and had kept a record of wave heights. Using historical weather maps we “hindcast” the Azores wave conditions. Things looked pretty good but once in a while high waves were recorded which were totally missed by our hindcasts. I noticed that the “spikes” were curiously spaced at even intervals. On further examination the spikes invariably occurred on Saturday nights. We allowed ourselves the interpretation that they were more nearly related to Portuguese vin rosé than to meteorological conditions.

Hasselmann: What happened in relation to amphibious landings for the rest of the war?

Munk: We started a school for wave prediction here in La Jolla. Our students were Navy and Air Force (originally Army Air Corps) weather officers. We must have graduated about a hundred officers. Some of them became leaders in future oceanography. We learned as we taught, the prediction method was pretty much transformed from one class to the next. The officers did the predictions for all amphibious landings in the Pacific Theatre of War, with Sverdrup and me playing diminishing roles. Eventually in collaboration with the British Met Service a prediction was made for the Normandy landing, where it played a crucial and dramatic role. The prediction for the first proposed day of landing was that it would be impossible to have a successful landing. As I understand, the wave prediction persuaded Eisenhower to delay for 24 hours. For the next day the prediction was “very difficult but not impossible.” Eisenhower decided not to delay the second day, because the secrecy would be lost in waiting two weeks for the next tidal cycle.
After the war the Hydrographic Office (HO) published the method as HO 601 and
HO 602 [2, 3]. We improperly had called HO 601 a theory for wave forecasting. It
was not a theory. It was a collection of recipes aptly assembled. Klaus, you were
kind enough to give a talk entitled “HO 601” at my 65th birthday.

Hasselmann: I remember the following statement in Blair Kinsman’s book on Wind
Waves, “…there are some thousands of World War II veterans alive today who
would have been dead in the surf had Sverdrup and Munk not done their best with
what they had.”

Yes, and I well remember the 65th birthday day surprise symposium that your wife
Judy sprung on you in 1982. I still see the surprise in your face when you opened the
door of the Sumner auditorium to “meet somebody” and were greeted with a full ap-
plauding auditorium! In my talk I presented the new wave model we had developed
following our 1969 Joint North Sea Wave Project (JONSWAP) on wind-wave growth
(that was inspired by your pioneering swell measurement project in the Pacific that
we shall come to later). I showed a transparency of predicted wave height versus
fetch and said I would now compare this with the HO 601 results. But I warned that
this would be hardly fair. After all, the new wave model was calibrated against 13
wave instruments recording wave spectra along a well defined profile under ideal
off-shore winds, and was based on a spectral theory of wave growth, including John
Miles’ theory of wave generation and the important but highly complex nonlinear
spectral transfer integral – all of which nobody had even dreamed of when you cre-
ated HO 601 by applying dimensional analysis to a hodge-podge of visual wave
observations, including sources as diverse as the ripple waves in a Hyde Park pond.
I believe the burst of applause when I overlaid a transparency of the HO 601 data
showing a perfect fit with the 2nd generation wave model and the JONSWAP data
was a nice birthday present.

von Storch: May I ask one detail that I always wanted to know. Where does the term
“significant wave height” come from?

Munk: I think that we invented that word, and it came about as follows: after return-
ing to Scripps we were monitoring practice landings under various wave conditions
by the Marines at Camp Pendleton, California. After each landing we would ask
the coxswains of the landing crafts to estimate today’s wave height, 7 feet one day,
4 feet the next day. We would make simultaneous wave records, and compute root
mean square (rms) elevations. It turned out that the coxswains’ wave heights far
exceeded twice the rms elevations. It was easier to define a new statistical quantity
than to modify the mindset of a Marine coxswain, so we introduced “significant
wave height” (rms of the highest one third waves) as being compatible with the
Marines’ estimates. To our surprise that definition has stuck till today.

von Storch: Since when are ships of opportunity recording waves? I mean they rou-
tinely report significant wave height in their logbooks, don’t they?

Munk: Yes, of course. I believe their estimate is near to that of the Marine coxswains.
And so are the estimates of surfboard riders.
von Storch: What is a coxswain?

Munk: He is the person with his hand on the throttle looking out to sea and, at the moment he considers favorable, guns it into the beach. This involves an intuitive but very sophisticated ten-second wave prediction. Many coxswains have an uncanny ability to pick the right moment.

von Storch: So, the technical term of significant wave height is from your pen.

Munk: As far as I know. I might add that this is not a great scientific accomplishment.

Hasselmann: But this generation no longer thinks about what it means. So, it is good to hear your explanation of how it came about.

Munk: There is a Scripps Wave Report on significant wave height somewhere in our archives. The development of a wave generation theory was to be left to O.M. Phillips, Miles and Hasselmann.

I should mention a brief consulting interlude. My return to Scripps coincided with the earliest attempts at offshore drilling for oil. I received a contract from Humble Oil to compute wave forces on an offshore drilling platform to be built in the Gulf of Mexico [10]. The platform was built and subsequently demolished in a hurricane. An oil journal (I have been unable to identify it) appeared with a cover, to the left showing the Humble platform after the storm (what was left of it), to the right a “Table of forces computed by Professor Munk.” That was the end of my career as a wave consultant.¹

1.3 Where the Swell Begins

von Storch: So you were engaged in this wave business in the 1940’s. Did you follow that business closely in the next 50 years? Or did you leave it mostly to others?

Munk: I made a conscious and deliberate attempt to get away from sea and swell. And indeed our major effort was directed towards waves of increasingly longer periods, culminating in a decade of studying tides. But this attempt never quite succeeded. The measured sloping “ridges” in \( f, t \)-space were too dramatic to be ig-

¹ But I remained in contact with problems of wave forces on off-shore structures through my brother-in-law, Edward Horton. Ed developed the first tension leg platform (TLP), moored off California in the early 1970’s, and later the deepwater offshore production facilities called spars. Ed’s engineering degree at Yale University had not prepared him for dealing with random processes like ocean waves, and I passed on to him what I had learned from John Tukey. Working with Ed has been one of the pleasures of my career.
nored. Let me start with a very recent telephone call, “Congratulations, you have been made an honorary member of the Groundswell Society.”

**von Storch: the Groundswell Society? What is that?**

Munk: I had never heard of it. It is a group of several thousand Californians who enjoy surfing together. And to my regret I have never been a competent surfer. And when I asked why I had been so honored, the caller said, “for having discovered the source waters of the California summer swell.” They meant “source waters” in the sense that Victoria Falls were once discovered to be the source waters of the Nile river.

The source waters of the California summer swell are in the winter storms of the Southern Hemisphere. This was not known at the time I returned to La Jolla after the war. It is now common knowledge among the thousands of enthusiasts who ride the waves on the California beaches every summer. A Web site tells them, “a 6 foot swell of 16 s period from west of New Zealand (direction 205 degrees true) will arrive Saturday, expect good breakers at Wind-and-Sea beach in La Jolla,” etc.

Would you like me to talk about how the source waters were discovered?

**von Storch: Please, do so.**

Munk: It is the result of three expeditions. In 1956 Frank Snodgrass and I were taking measurements of pressure on the bottom in 500 feet of water off Guadalupe Island, a Mexican island to the south of Ensenada. The experiment was unrelated to ocean swell. But then we recorded an astounding sequence of dispersive wave signals from a distant source [57]. The wave train is initially of very small amplitude, millimeters, but easily detected by spectral analysis. The sequence was one of gradually decreasing wave period starting with 19 s waves, followed in four days by 14 s. It is possible to compute the sourced distance from the rate of decrease of wave period, using 19th century wave theory. The result was astounding: 13,500 km. Some later events came from even further, approaching the antipodal distance of 20,000 km. The Pacific Ocean is large, but not that large. We returned to La Jolla puzzled and confused.

**von Storch: And what was your next expedition?**

Munk: There was one way of reconciling the most distant ranges with geography: namely that the source storms were in the Indian Ocean and that they entered the Pacific along great circles through the narrow 220–225 window between New Zealand and Antarctica or the 230 to 236 window between New Zealand and Australia (the Tasman Sea). Radio Astronomers had just pioneered determining the direction of radio stars by using multiple antennas and measuring the phase difference of the incoming radio waves. Here was the opportunity of extending the power spectral analysis to cross-spectra between two records. Frank Snodgrass established a triangular 100 m array in 100 m of water on the western (exposed) side of San Clemente
Island (100 km west of San Diego). Norman Barber (of the “Barber Wheel”) came over from the UK to help with the analysis [85]. And there we were; waves from the distant storms came in through the narrow window between New Zealand and Antarctica! For one particularly intense event we felt confident to “invert” the wave data to sketch a weather map of the source region. It showed a storm centered on an island called Heard Island in the Indian Ocean at 52 degrees south.

*von Storch:* So that’s where the swell begins. You mentioned a third expedition.

*Munk:* Having established some of the great circle wave paths, we thought that it would be interesting to occupy a set of stations along such a path [96]. We chose six stations: New Zealand, Samoa, Palmyra (an uninhabited equatorial island), Hawaii, the Scripps’ ship FLIP, and Yakutat, Alaska. I took Samoa (Fig. 1.2), Klaus took Hawaii; Gaylord Miller (our only graduate student) took Alaska. We were able to follow wave disturbances for 10,000 km all the way from source to finish.

*von Storch:* What else did you learn?

*Munk:* By then Klaus had done the pioneering work on wave-wave scattering, and we spaced the stations to measure the scattering of the southern swell by the trade

---

**Fig. 1.2** Measuring ocean swell from a Fale in Tutuila, American Samoa (1963). Walter had persuaded Judith to take the 0400 daily watch of swell recording. (Edie, Kendall, Judith, Walter, and Silau playing the guitar)
wind sea. This turned out to be negligible; all measurable scattering occurred before the waves left their generation area. So in retrospect the stations were not well spaced. But we could place an upper limit on attenuation. Curiously only now, more than fifty years later, our attenuation estimate of 0.2 dB per 1000 km was useful in some new work on nonlinear wave interactions.

Hasselmann: Indeed, we have come a long way in the wave forecasting business since the first large-scale multi-wave-station measurements you initiated in the Pacific swell experiment. The European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasts, for example includes in its routine medium-range (10 day) global weather forecasts global predictions of two-dimensional (frequency-directional) wave spectra on a 0.25 × 0.25 degree grid. These are based on detailed computations of the wave spectral energy balance, as had been applied already in the analysis of the Pacific swell data in the near-storm regions, and then later in greater detail in the follow-up Joint North Sea Wave Atmosphere Program (JONSWAP) wave-growth experiment. The very weak attenuation of swell outside the generating region found in the Pacific swell experiment is an important factor in the present 3rd generation wave prediction models, and is well supported by modern global satellite measurements of wave heights (from radar altimeters) and two-dimensional wave spectra (from synthetic aperture radars).

Munk: We never dreamt that wave prediction would become a thriving business. We did however realize that the Samoa wave measurements would permit a pretty good job of predicting Hawaii waves a few days later, and for a moment might have entertained the idea of going into the wave forecasting business. However at that time the economic standing of the surfing community did not appear a proper basis for our future economic well being.

Perhaps this is a good time for paying tribute to my partner Frank Snodgrass. Frank was a superb ocean experimentalist; I am not a good experimentalist (Fig. 1.3). But we shared interest in exciting problems and worked together from 1956 to 1975. We published together. Frank pioneered dropping instruments to the sea floor and recalling them some months or years later by acoustic commands.

After Frank died, I started a partnership with Peter Worcester, much of this dealing with ocean acoustics. This has by now lasted thirty years. When we have gone to sea together, Pete has assigned me various duties that keep me from interfering with his instruments. It is a simple fact that the acoustic work, and the work on waves and tides would not have happened without these partnerships.

Hasselmann: I find your account of how you became involved in ocean waves fascinating, beginning with the urgent need to forecast waves for the war effort, and progressing into understanding how waves propagate, and the details of their spectral properties and energy balance, etc. I find it fascinating also because it parallels similar, apparently largely independent developments that you mentioned in England. Fritz Ursell and Norman Barber had also deduced, that swell originated in distant
storms, in this case in the Atlantic. They inferred this by applying wave propagation theory to successive measurements of wave spectra they had made in South Cornwall, I believe in 1944. The peak periods were found to gradually decrease with time, and from the rate of decrease they inferred the distance of the source region. And the motivation of the British wave group, under the leadership of George Deacon, was also to improve wave forecasts during the war. How was the interaction with the British colleagues? You mentioned that Norman Barber visited Scripps in the sixties . . .

Munk: At one time or another, we have collaborated with nearly all members of the Deacon Group, with Michael Longuet-Higgins, Barber, Ursell, M.J. Tucker, and with Sir George himself.
Chapter 2
Coming to America

von Storch: You were born in Austria. I am puzzled how that relates to your career in oceanography.

Munk: It does not; Austria is a landlocked country. But don’t forget, at one time we owned the North Adriatic. When I started in La Jolla in the late 40’s, Austria had a leading school of climatology. Albert Defant was Professor at the University

Fig. 2.1 Since learning to paddle a Plättel in Alt-Aussee as a boy, Walter had been hoping for an opportunity to be his own gondolier on the Venetian canals. It finally came while he and Judith were in Venice on a sabbatical testing new technology to clean marble statues with laser pulses (1972). Walter also spent time working on the design of the Gates to keep aqua alta out of Venice, a 7 billion project that is now nearing completion over 36 years later. At the same time, concern about the increasing lagoon pollution prompted Walter to write a paper (unpublished) “Let the Moon Flush the Lagoon” which advocated timing the opening and closing of the Gates to flush the lagoon by rectifying the lagoon circulation
Much of Walter’s boyhood, prior to coming to America, was spent at the Eggelgut, a 17th century peasant house renovated by his grandfather, Lucien Brunner. It was located on a steep meadow between the forest and a brook in Alt-Aussee, a village about 45 minutes out of Salzburg. Life was centered around the lake and tennis courts. In the winter the family skied the Loser Mountain on a run that ended right at the house. After the war, Walter’s mother sold the Eggelgut, but kept some land by the lake. Judith and Walter visited often and dreamt of converting the boathouse into a summer residence.

Defant spent a year in La Jolla in the mid-fifties as guest of Dr. Louis Lek in La Jolla while Lek translated the second volume into English (published with the support of the Office of Naval Research). I vividly remember being shown a curve supposedly representing a wide scatter of points. When I looked puzzled, Defant replied in his best Tyrolean accent, “Man muss doch einen kosmischen Schwung haben.”

Years later in 1981, when we lived in Venice, a Professor at the University of Trieste took us to his apartment to show us his collection of oceanographic instruments (Fig. 2.1). And there was something very much like the Nansen bottle with reversing bottles and reversing thermometers, built in Vienna prior to the turn of the century. A cable with a string of bottles attached would be lowered to the desired depth, and then raised a few meters. The bottles are tripped by the reversal in vertical velocity measured by propellers attached to the bottles (not so good in a heaving

---

1 But one needs to have a cosmic swing.
von Storch: How in the world did you make your journey from a village in Austria to the beaches of California?

Munk: In a set of steps resembling a random walk. I will have to go back. I grew up in a drafty formal house in Vienna (now the Embassy of South Korea). We spent three months each summer and Christmas holidays in Alt-Aussee (near Salzburg) at the Egelgut, a charming 18th century farmhouse rebuilt by my grandfather (Fig. 2.2). We all considered the Egelgut as home. It is on a steep hillside, bounded by the Egelbach at the lower boundary, and at the upper end the Egelwald, leading up the Loser Mountain. After the war ended I took my family to Alt-Aussee on a number of occasions (Figs. 2.3 and 2.4).

My maternal grandfather Lucian Brunner was a banker, later a member of the Vienna city council (Fig. 2.5). In his later year he turned to Socialism, and changed his bank from Bank Lucian Brunner to Österreichische Volks Bank (Austrian Peoples Bank) but kept all the shares. Lucian came from a Jewish family in St. Gallen,
Switzerland, later settling in Hohenems, Austria (there is still a Brunner Strasse and a Brunner Haus). An Italian branch settled in Trieste where they turned Catholic more than a hundred years ago. My Italian cousins come to Zöbern, Austria every August to be “in residence” at Schloss Ziegersberg (30 rooms with private chapel). My parents (Fig. 2.6) were divorced when I was ten and mother married Rudolf Engelsberg (Fig. 2.7) who was a sub-cabinet member of the Schuschnig government when Hitler invaded Austria. I was brought up in a non-religious household.

Mother’s brother Felix was an early glider enthusiast and an avid skier, and first took me up the Loser (“house mountain” of the Egelgut) when I was five years old. Uncle Felix had gone skiing with Hannes Schneider who transformed “modern” skiing from the Telemark school to the Arlberg school. I loved skiing and disliked high school (Fig. 2.8). At fifteen I decided on a career as ski teacher. Mother was appalled. One evening we had an American guest for dinner who told mother that he had a son just like me, and that he had found the ideal school where boys learned the habits of discipline and hard work. So I was exiled to the Silver Bay School for Boys on Lake George, N.Y. A year later we had started the Silver Bay Ski Club and I was president.
2.1 Coming to America

**Fig. 2.5** Walter’s maternal grandparents, Malwine and Lucian Brunner. Lucian was owner of Bank Lucian Brunner. When he became a member of the Vienna City Council, he changed the name to Österreichische Volksbank (Austrian Peoples Bank), but kept all the shares.

**Fig. 2.6** (a) Walter with his father, Dr. Hans Munk, and his sister, Gertrude, in their Vienna garden. (b) Walter with his mother, Regina Brunner (circa 1918)
Walter’s parents were divorced when he was ten years old. His stepfather, Rudolph Engelsberg (right), was General Director der Österreichischen Salinen (President of the Austrian Salt Mines).

Mixed Doubles at the Brunner’s tennis court in Alt-Aussee (1932). Walter (right) took tennis very seriously and once made it to the Austrian Juniors Doubles semi-finals. He and his mother enjoyed competing in mixed-doubles tournaments. Walter’s youth was spent skiing and playing tennis, with no signs of any intellectual curiosity.
Grandfather Lucian had been in partnership with Cassel & Co in New York; my uncle Julian Triar was senior partner. Upon graduation I became a runner at Cassel’s to learn the business from the bottom up. I hated every minute of it. I had the good sense to enroll in night school at Columbia University. Two years later I became twenty and mother was ready to give up on her hopes for Walter’s banking career. She gave me $10,000 (then a fortune) and told me that I was on my own. More than fifty years later my grandson Walter was assigned to write a high school essay about Ellis Island. “Great,” he said, “I will get some original material from my grandfather.” He was deeply disappointed when he learned that I had spent my first night in America in the Park Avenue apartment of Hugh Cassel.

Hasselmann: And what did you do with your new fortune?

Munk: I bought a DeSoto Phaeton and drove to California. I had fallen in love with Pasadena and San Marino Spanish street names, in such contrast with the digital New York layout. I appeared at the doorstep of the Caltech dean of admissions and said, “I am going to be a student here next year.” When he said, “Let me pull your files,” I had to reply, “There are no files.” He was so appalled at my naiveté that he gave me a month to take the entrance exam. I holed up in a room at the corner of Lake and California streets, and passed.

2.2 Caltech

Hasselmann: How did you become fascinated with geology? Was there somebody who inspired you?

Munk: Yes, Peter Buwalda, professor of geology. At the start of classes he had casually agreed to take the class on a field trip in the event of a major earthquake along the San Andreas Fault. And there was! I remember camping out in the desert in a moonlight night and looking up at the snow covered Sierra Nevada, with Buwalda speaking about fault dynamics and the formation of mountains. I had become a good student.

von Storch: But did you have a background education in mathematics or so?

Munk: Not really, not until I came to Caltech. At Columbia night school I had taken a course in analytic geometry and freshman calculus. But here I took analysis from Harry Bateman, physics from William Houston, geophysics from Beno Gutenberg, Charles Richter, and Hugo Benioff. Caltech was very good to me.

von Storch: But no oceanography. How did you wander into oceanography?

Munk: During my junior year I was dating “Bumps” Anderson, a girl from Scripps College, who was to spend her summer with her grandparents in La Jolla. I needed
Walter first came to Scripps for the summer of 1939 after completing his Junior year at Cal Tech. He lived at the Community House (above), now the site of the Judith and Walter Munk Laboratory of the Cecil and Ida Green Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics (IGPP). At the time, there were no houses between Scripps and the village of La Jolla.

La Jolla consisted of a set of summer cottages, and the Scripps Institution. In early 1939 I drove to San Diego and asked the Director Harald Sverdrup for a summer job.
I was appointed a student assistant at $50 per month. I have never lived better; for food we could pick abalones from old Scripps pier, and the chemical oceanography division provided (unwilling) assistance for parties in the old Community House (the present site of IGPP) (Fig. 2.9). I believe the total Scripps staff consisted of 18 people, including the gardener. I played tennis with the Director’s beautiful Norwegian wife Gudrun, and whenever she won I would be invited to a dinner of “fiske pudding.” I met Roger Revelle who had just gotten his PhD; Roger and Ellen were to be my lifelong friends. Certainly Harald and Roger had a primary influence on my entire career. By the end of the summer I had decided to become an oceanographer (Fig. 2.10).

The *RV E.W. Scripps* (a sailing schooner) had just returned from an expedition into the Gulf of California. Harald Sverdrup gave me some data and said, “See what you can do with it.” It eventually became my first paper [1]: Internal Waves in the Gulf of California (not very good).

I was back next summer. My romance with Bumps had cooled, but my love affair with Scripps had begun, and it is today as it was seventy years ago. I asked Sverdrup whether he would take me on as a Ph.D. student (Fig. 2.11). He thought about it...
silently for an infinite thirty seconds and then said, “Okay, but I cannot think of a single job in oceanography opening over the next ten years.” I quickly replied, “I’ll take it.”

This was 1939, Austria had been invaded by Hitler, the Schuschnig Government (including my stepfather) had fallen. My mother had gone to England; she had been a student at Cambridge University just before World War I (reading botany with Harold Jeffreys when he was still a botanist). I thought war with Germany was imminent, and enlisted with the U.S. Army. I had become a citizen in June 1939. I had applied the previous year but had flunked the test (Klaus and Hans, I must be the only person you know who flunked this exam.) In my first year at Caltech I had taken a course on the U.S. Constitution from Professor William Bennett Munro; contrary to expectation I found it fascinating. So when in my 1938 oral exam I was asked, “What is the Constitution of the United States” I happily slid into a lengthy sermon. The immigration officer cut me off, “Go study the book.” The proper answer was a verbatim “The Constitution of the United States is the supreme law of the land.”

2.3 Serving in the Army

Hasselmann: Tell us about your military career.

Munk: Very undistinguished. The United States did not go to war until Pearl Harbor in December 1941. Service in peacetime can be boring. I was made a Scout Corporal in the 146 Field Artillery at Fort Lewis, Washington, even though I protested that I had a poor sense of direction. The second time I lost my way I was broken to Private First Class. But there was one break: a month on Mount Rainier with the fledgling Ski Troops (Fig. 2.12). The unit later moved to Camp Hale, Colorado, with distinguished service in Europe.

In the meantime a small Scripps Group had been formed under Sverdrup and Revelle to work on problems of Anti-Submarine Warfare (ASW). The Allies were taking a heavy toll of ships being sunk by German submarines. The ASW effort was being conducted under the auspices of the University of California Division of War Research (UCDWR) with offices at the U.S. Navy Radio and Sound Laboratory at Point Loma. Sverdrup and Revelle wrote a letter to the War Department asking that I be permitted to join their group (Fig. 2.13). I jumped at the chance; my enlistment term was almost up, and I was bored listening to my first Sergeant’s lectures on when to salute (and when not to).

I was discharged in December 1941, a week before Pearl Harbor, and reported for duty at Point Loma. A week later all discharges had been cancelled. My unit in the 146 Field Artillery was shipped to fight the Japanese in the Owen Stanley Mountains of New Guinea and was nearly wiped out.
2.4 Clearance Problems

Hasselmann: That was before you began with the ocean wave prediction.

Munk: Yes. What then happened is the black hole of my career. Starting in 1941, Sverdrup, Francis Shepard, Johnson and Eugene La Fond would commute daily from Scripps to the Navy Point Loma Laboratory. One day in March 1942 Sverdrup was refused entrance; his clearance had been suspended. A month later my clearance was cancelled. It was to be fifty years before we learned what had happened.

I have written a complete account on Harald U. Sverdrup and the War Years [243] on the basis of the FBI (Federal Bureau of Investigation), Army and Navy Intelligence files requested by my wife Judith in 1995 under the Freedom of Information Act. What happened is that two Scripps professors and one Scripps technician had reported to the FBI that Sverdrup had pro-Nazi sympathies.\footnote{Sverdrup had written his doctoral dissertation under the guidance of V. Bjerknes while in residence in Germany. At the beginning of World War II the German Meteor Expedition (with Defant’s participation) was still at the forefront of sea-going oceanography. By the end of the war the leadership had passed to the United States.} Nothing could be fur-
ther from the truth. As for me, it was partly because I was Sverdrup’s student, partly because (according to the files) I frequently brought girls to my office at night and these girls spoke with a German accent (this must have been Sverdrup’s daughter Anna). In another interview my Washington landlady testified that I was loyal but that she would never rent a room to me again because I was so untidy. Sverdrup and I did not know that for the month we worked in Washington on the North-African Landings we had been under 24 hour surveillance.

My clearance was eventually re-established, and for the last sixty years I have had broad access to sensitive information as part of my JASON activity (more later). Sverdrup returned to Norway and died without ever learning what had happened.

von Storch: You suddenly were out of business from one day to the other, right?

Munk: Yes, it was a very difficult time for Harald Sverdrup. He had lost his brother in a British Commando raid on Spitzbergen. The Nazis jailed his two sisters in Norway. He had a tremendous emotional involvement in the war and yet was not
permitted to use his unique talent to contribute to the war effort. And so he returned to his beloved Norway.

*von Storch*: But they needed him; he was still director of Scripps.

Munk: He was still director of Scripps, and they did permit him to work on problems that did not require he look at the raw data. But such a restriction was totally unacceptable. Sverdrup’s career was based on first hand contact with observations. Sverdrup’s family had become American citizens, and in a letter to U.C. President Sproul he had expressed his intention to remain at Scripps after the war. I think that without the clearance problems he would have stayed for another term. He was a wonderful director. He had an enormous influence on my life.

*von Storch*: After the war...

Munk: ...after the war. He never knew what had happened.

*Hasselmann*: So he had already died by the time you received the information.

Munk: Yes, he never knew what happened. But there was an exoneration of a kind. In June 1943 the Navy Bureau of Ships issued a statement which “…expresses confidence in Sverdrup,” adding that, “The Bureau is familiar with the substance of the derogatory reports… and believes that these reports are without foundation.” But they did not reinstate his clearance. In my case there had been a statement one month earlier, “War Department investigation of Munk concludes that he had no pro-Nazi sympathies, and that any evidence that he was pro-German was entirely hearsay.” I have previously mentioned that I have since enjoyed unusually complete access to classified information. For the last 25 years, far longer than anyone else, I have held a Secretary of the Navy Chair in Oceanography.

Sverdrup announced in January 1947 that he would be returning to Norway, and recommended Revelle as his successor. But the last few years of the Sverdrup directorship were anything but a holding exercise. Far from it. The important development was the collapse of the California Sardine Fisheries and the establishment of the California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigation (CalCOFI) modeled on the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) in the North Sea. CalCOFI provided the ships and money for regular cruises to build oceanographic time series. Roger’s experience and taste for sea-going work made him the obvious candidate for Scripps Director. But the majority of the Scripps faculty protested and the Revelle appointment was delayed until 1951, with Carl Eckart assuming the Directorship in what I would call a holding maneuver. The opposition was based on some of Roger’s personal habits: late to meetings, slow in answering mail, etc. Among the leaders of the opposition were Professors Denis Fox and Claude ZoBell, the same who had blackballed Sverdrup (I have not previously mentioned their names).
Chapter 3
Bikini (1946) and Eniwetok (1951)

Hasselmann: Your clearance paved the way for your participation in the American Atomic Bomb Tests?

Munk: Yes, the 20-kiloton fission bombs in Bikini in 1946, and the 17-megaton fusion bomb in 1951 (called Ivy Mike). Let me talk about Bikini first. William Van Arx of Woods Hole and I were tasked to estimate the rate at which radioactive contamination would be flushed from Bikini Lagoon [13]. When viewed on Pacific maps, the lagoon appeared as an insignificant speck, but it was not so small when we got there. We were given 10 days to do our job. We requisitioned a Navy reconnaissance plane and rigged up a simple bombsite. Van Arx was navigator and I was bombardier. We dropped dye markers filled with a highly concentrated mixture of green hexafluoride (used to locate downed fliers) into the lagoon openings, and the colored spots were photographed over the subsequent half tidal cycle. These spots gave a rough idea of the in and outflow. There are about ten lagoon openings, and by the end of the week we had monitored nine, each showing a net inflow! The tenth (and last) channel came to our rescue, with a large net outflow. (The night before we had despaired as to how to report a violation to the principle of mass conservation.) In spite of great care, some tiny volume of the green dye would rub into my trousers. Our bunks were on USS (United States Ship) Allen M. Sumner (DD-692). After a few days, I noticed that the uniforms of the hundred or so officers and crew had taken on a greenish tinge. On the last day, Captain Ciano invited Van Arx and me to his quarters for hearts-of-palm hors d’oeuvres. He received us in (not so perfect) dress-whites with the words, “I don’t know what’s wrong with the ship’s laundry…”

Bikini was also the site of the perfect oceanographic experiment. The problem was to measure the maximum height of the waves caused by the underwater explosion (Bikini Baker). One member of our team, Jeff Holter, purchased a case of beer, emptied the contents, and then nailed the empty beer cans on a nearby palm tree. Following the test, the lower cans were found filled with lagoon water, the upper ones were empty, with the boundary constituting a reliable estimate of the highest run up.
I revisited Bikini Lagoon five years later, on the way to Eniwetok to monitor the H-bomb explosion, three orders more powerful than the Bikini tests [247]. Scuba diving has just been invented, and we were learning how to use the new gear (Fig. 3.1). I dove to the bottom of the lagoon, 180 feet (my deepest dive) and looked at the eerie silhouettes of the battleships that had been sunk during the Bikini tests. Not much had changed in five years, and the Bikini natives who had been evacuated on a moments notice had not been allowed to return. We established a bottom pressure recorder to monitor the forthcoming explosion at Eniwetok 250 n. miles to the west.¹

von Storch: Why would you want to install a wave recorder so far from the explosion site?

Munk: Roger Revelle, John Isaacs, and I had become concerned that the H-bomb would trigger a tsunami with distant outreach, and we browbeat the Atomic Energy Commission in preparing for such an event. Looking at fathograms of the steep Eniwetok Seamount shows evidence of previous underwater landslides. This is a region of very low earthquake activity, and we were concerned that the shock of a magnitude 7 earthquake (the thermo-nuclear explosion) would trigger an underwater landslide. Such landslides are good generators of tsunamis.

¹ Willard Bascom had been diagnosed with terminal cancer. He was a passionate underwater photographer and had made it very clear that he did not want his photos of marine life spoiled by the presence of ugly fellow oceanographers. Walter was standing on the lagoon bottom with a tsunami pressure gauge raised above his head (recording a Laplace transform of instrument response) when he noticed Bascom taking a most unusual photographic interest in the calibration. When Walter finally turned around, he found himself a few feet from the object of Bascom’s intense interest (Fig. 3.2). The calibration was an incomplete Laplace transform.
Fig. 3.2 Willard Bascom took this picture in 1952 in Bikini Lagoon when Walter was about to be devoured by a shark

Plans were made for evacuating low areas on islands within some hundreds of miles of the zero-point at Eluklab Island. The evacuation order was to be triggered by the actual detection of a tsunami signal. Offshore depths are typically 18,000 feet, but there was an available seamount reaching to within 4,500 feet of the surface. Willard Bascom of Scripps established two moorings, with a taut piano wire leading from the anchor on top of the seamount to a buoyant raft at the surface. A differential pressure gage with peak response at tsunami frequencies was clamped to the piano wire 130 feet beneath the surface raft. The recording was on a primitive Esterline-Angus pen and ink paper tape. Passage of the tsunami wave crest would give an increased pressure signal. For anchor, Bascom had clamped together some old San Diego trolley car wheels (the first example of what was to become standard practice for the resting places of used railroad wheels).

Bascom and I tended identical moorings on the seamount, standing on 3×3 foot rafts and anxiously observing the paper tape recording (Fig. 3.3). We were separated by two miles with the Scripps vessel RV (Research Vessel) Horizon between and within sight. We had arranged four semaphore flag signals:

ABLE ABLE ABLE Destructive tsunami Pacific Ocean
BAKER BAKER BAKER Destructive tsunami Marshall Islands
CHARLIE CHARLIE CHARLIE minor tsunami
DOG DOG DOG No tsunami

The Horizon was in open contact with the flagship USS Estes that in turn had open communication links to the island evacuation sites. Time zero had been set for 1952 November 1 0715.000 hours Eniwetok local time. It was before dawn, cold and wet. I put on my high-density goggles. An instant heat blast signaled the explosion. At 0721 a 5-millibar air shock arrived, followed by angry rumbling. After that, nothing.
Fig. 3.3 Willard Bascom (above) and Walter observed the hydrogen bomb test, Ivy Mike, at Eniwetok Atoll from a $3 \times 3$ foot raft, recording bottom pressure for a possible tsunami. Four truck inner tubes were used for floatation of the plywood raft, which was anchored to the 4500 foot deep seamount by San Diego trolley car wheels. (Willard Bascom on raft, John Isaacs and Monk Hendrix in the rowboat)

Fig. 3.4 Crossing the equator aboard the RV Horizon, Operation Capricorn (1953). The lettering on the researchers’ chests reads RV HORIZON SIO LA JOLLA CAL UCLA. (Walter is seated on the front left)
By then the mushroom cloud had reached 20 miles. I was 72 n. miles from Eluklab Island (which by then had evaporated) but the appearance was that I was beneath a raging inferno. I kept adding 5-minute time marks to the straight line drawn by the pressure recorder. At 0745 the *Horizon* came by the rafts to pick up Bascom and me. The Task Group Commander had ordered her to proceed at flank speed\(^2\) (11.5 knots for the *Horizon*) on course 045T to avoid radioactive fallout. By noon we were hove to (as ordered) north of Ground Zero when it started to rain. The radiation safety officer recorded 30 milliroentgens per hour (the permissible outdoor rate was 7 mR/hr). We immediately initiated the fallout procedures, clothes were thrown overboard and the wash-down procedure was put into action. But by then, as Chief Scientist Roger Revelle put it, the *Horizon* “had lost her virginity” (Figs. 3.4 and 3.5). For the remaining twenty-six years of Scripps service she was unable to accommodate experiments involving low-level radiation counting.

At 1400 we received orders from the Task Force Commander to again proceed at flank speed, but now southward. After two hours the activity had decreased to

\(^2\) The nautical term “flank speed” is its true maximum speed.
0.3 mR/hr. The cumulative total was well below the allowable personnel exposure total of 3 R. None of this would have happened if we had stayed with the rest of the fleet. We have never been able to reconstruct the reasoning behind the initial evacuation order.

Next morning we returned to the seamount to recover our gear. I un-spooled the paper tape back to 0745 the previous day when I had been ordered to abandoned the raft. Within 90 s following my final time mark, the record showed a large positive pressure jump (perhaps the pressure gauge had slipped down the mooring wire). On hindsight the signal was not creditable: too late, too large, too step-like. And there was no signal at the neighboring raft tended by Bascom. Klaus, you may remember that on my 65th birthday, I spoke of the occasion. I then thought I would have gone through with the ABLE ABLE ABLE signal. This would have set in motion the evacuation of several thousand people. I would have been too embarrassed to return to Scripps, and would have left the ship at the next landfall in Tongatapu.

Hasselmann: ...Let's contemplate this... an early demise to your career...

Munk: My entire life would have been different...

Hasselmann: ...and what did in fact happen after your left Eniwetok?

Munk: We had made enough money participating in the bomb test to spend the next half-year doing geophysics on the way home. Russell Raitt did some seismic work and found a surprisingly thin sedimentary layer, consistent with only 100 million years of sedimentation. Arthur Maxwell found a normal heat flow through the sea bottom. This eventually became evidence for Plate Tectonics (we missed the proper interpretation). We spent a wonderful Christmas in the Tonga Islands. When we eventually returned to San Diego we had been gone the better part of a year. Horizon
was home, and land creatures were strange and somewhat frightening. We left the vessel making suitably rude remarks to our shipmates, “Well I am glad I won’t have to see you for breakfast,” and to the cook “I won’t have to eat your chow any more.” But that evening half the contingent were back for dinner on the Horizon.

The long time at sea had given me time to reflect and I had decided to terminate a failing marriage. I took residence in Reno, Nevada, and filed for divorce from Martha Chapin Munk. I proposed to Judith Horton (Fig. 3.6). Judith had come down with polio on her 21st birthday, the day she was to enter the Harvard School of Design. She spent some months in an iron lung, and then came to stay with her grandmother Mrs. Oscar Kendall in Pacific Beach. By then she had recovered sufficiently to walk with a cane. But old polio victims don’t get better with age. Ten years later she required Canadian Walking Sticks. It took twenty-five years for her to sit down in a wheel chair. She was a perfect partner in all I did for 53 years. Polio was a challenge, not a handicap.
Chapter 4

Settling Down at Scripps

4.1 Munk Finally Gets His Degree

Hasselmann: I would assume by then you had gotten a degree and been appointed to a Scripps faculty position?

Munk: Not yet. It was far more exciting going to Bikini than meeting the University’s Ph.D. requirements. One morning Harald Sverdrup said, “If you don’t get your thesis in I will have to ask you to leave.” I then went into high gear and submitted a 19 page thesis in one month [6]. For that purpose I combined some work I had done on two quite different processes that lead to an increase in wave period: selective attenuation (short waves are damped more quickly) and dispersion (the interval between the first and second crest of a tsunami increases with time). Klaus, you know Jules Charney. He and I had our final examination at UCLA together, he from 2 to 3 pm, I from 3 to 4 pm. We had identical committees, including Sverdrup and Jack Bjerknes. But there was a big difference, Jules wrote a seminal thesis, mine was awful. The two processes of wave period increase have nothing to do with each other and discussing them jointly just leads to confusion [15]. In 1979 when the Dean of UCLA (where Scripps degrees were awarded in the forties) called to offer the Distinguished Alumnus Award, I thought for a moment he was going to cancel my degree. Fortunately the University of California has no mechanism for withdrawing a degree once granted.

Hasselmann: Oh, I had a Ph.D. student who went through because I missed his elementary error. In such cases one should sack the advisor, not the student. So I should have been sacked.

von Storch: Now let us see... I think we are more or less through with ocean waves. What are the next things you looked at?
4.2 Wind-Driven Ocean Circulation

Munk: In 1948 I took a sabbatical in Oslo, Norway. Harald Sverdrup had been gone from Scripps and I missed him. I had worked next to Harald’s office when he discovered the “Sverdrup relation” $\beta M y = \text{curl } \tau$ between the poleward water transport $M_y$ and the wind curl, where $\beta = df/dy$ is the poleward derivative of the coriolis parameter $f$. He discovered this relation not by theory, but, as was his custom, by looking at observations. Traditional geostrophy fails at the equator where $f = 0$. Sverdrup delayed the publication for months. How could such a simple result have been overlooked? He finally published in 1947.

A year later Henry Stommel published his beautiful demonstration that western boundary currents are associated with $\beta$ rather than $f$. On my sabbatical I discovered that Stommel’s equations reduce to the Sverdrup relation away from boundaries. This permitted an evaluation of the Gulf Stream transport from an integration of wind stress across the Atlantic [20]. The results, 36 Sverdrups, were within a factor of 2 of existing estimates based on hydrographic data. In the publication I used the words “ocean gyres” which have stuck ever since.

von Storch: So you did that more or less on the side. How long did you work on this?

Munk: About a year.

von Storch: This was one of the fields that you touched only once.

Munk: A year later I met George Carrier who immediately wrote down a one-line solution to the Gulf Stream as a boundary solution [22].
Chapter 5

From Waves to Tides 1958–1968

Hasselmann: You previously spoke of several attempts to move away from ocean waves studies. What was the direction you were trying to take?

Munk: For a decade we made a concerted effort to explore the long period wave spectrum between swell and tides. Here were 10 octaves of unknown territory, only occasionally visited by storm tides and earthquake tsunamis, just waiting to be explored.

We had previously installed an analog wave recorder at the end of Scripps Pier, which rejected both the “high-frequency” swell and the low-frequency tides [11]. This showed prominent oscillations of 1 to 5 minute periods that were clearly related to the amplitude modulation of the incoming swell. We called the oscillations “surf beat.” They are associated with the non-linear interaction of two neighboring frequencies $f_1$ and $f_2$ in generating $f_1 - f_2$. But a proper analysis had to wait fifteen years for “Bispectra of Ocean Waves” by Hasselmann, Munk, and MacDonald [83]. Bispectra had many applications, from surf beat to the deep-water wave-wave interactions required in Klaus’ wave forecasting.

Hasselmann: Were not bispectra an invention of your spectral mentor John Tukey?

Munk: Yes! I remember John coming by La Jolla one day and pronouncing that I had to learn about bispectra, “I don’t know for what problem bispectra will do you some good, but I know it will do you some good.”

Hasselmann: Were there other discoveries of long-period waves?

Munk: Yes, a host of different phenomena, mostly controlled by topography. The centerpiece was Frank Snodgrass’ application of the vibratron transducer for measuring pressure fluctuations on the sea bottom. Recording was done on shipboard. To avoid jerking the transducer (always a problem) there had to be a separate anchor with lots of slack cable between anchor and transducer.
First let me mention measurements in the inshore environment. Some harbors exhibit pronounced and undesirable oscillations that are excited by long period wave activity outside the harbor. One would think that the appropriate repair would be to narrow the harbor entrance. But just the reverse is true; narrowing the entrance increases the resonant $Q$ and increases resonant amplification. We called this the “Harbor Paradox” [74].

Another early application was to edge waves, gravitationally trapped waves that move parallel to the coastline. The amplitude decreases exponentially seaward, with a center of mass at a distance $\lambda/2\pi$. For a constant bottom slope $\beta$ the dispersion law is the same as for surface waves in deep water, $C^2 = g*\lambda/2\pi$ provided we use the “reduced gravity” $g* = g \sin \beta$. For a representative slope of 0.01, period 1000 s, the (along-shore) phase velocity is then 16 m/s and the wave length $\lambda = 16$ km. The depth at the center of gravity is 25 m. We set up an array of pressure recorders parallel to the coast at Oceanside, California where the coast is straight for 30 km [89]. Edge waves of mm amplitude were always present and easily measured. Measured and theoretical wave velocities were in remarkable agreement; so much so that to the casual reader the theoretical dispersion curve looks just like any other least-square fit to the observations rather than a confirmation of the theory.

von Storch: But, or maybe therefore, no one has paid any attention?

Munk: Quite so, but with one exception. At the time of the experiment I met Commander (Cdr.) Jones (not his real name) at a party and he wanted to know all about edge waves. Cdr. Jones was in command of a battle cruiser and became interested in how to generate an edge wave. I suggested that moving the cruiser parallel to shore at a distance of $1/2\pi$ the longshore wave length (depth of about 12 fathoms) and the resonant speed of 32 knots should do it. The experiment was carried out next morning, but without generating an observable edge wave.

von Storch: I would think having a cruiser chase down the coast at flank speed is not a smart thing to do.

Munk: It is not. Fortunately the careers of Cdr. Jones and Prof. Munk were not adversely impacted. Let me go to another experiment. With vibratron pressure recorders at about 30 m depth, we took simultaneous readings at La Jolla and on the eastern shore of San Clemente Island, 100 km seaward [78]. We found a spectral continuum, with the two records coherent and in phase for frequencies of less than 0.7 cycles per hour and out of phase for frequencies above 0.7 cph. The measurements gave clear evidence of shelf resonances. We pushed towards lower and lower frequencies. In a tour-de-force Sir Edward Bullard and Munk reported that they had pushed all the way to the tidal frequencies and patched the continuum across the tidal band [86], with an underlying intensity of an astoundingly low 0.1 cm$^2$/cpd.

It had become quite clear that the vibratron transducer was not up to the job. Frank Snodgrass had started working with a quartz crystal pressure transducer that was less
sensitive to temperature changes. More important, he was experimenting with a free-fall self-contained capsule that could be left on the sea floor for months at a time, and then recalled by acoustic command. The capsule represented half our annual budget and Frank could not get himself to toss it overboard without a safety leash. The leash would get fouled in various configurations. One day the leash became accidentally undone, and things worked perfectly. Within a few years the free-fall procedure had become routine.
Hasselmann: So the tidal program was the end product of a ten-year effort of measuring offshore waves of increasingly longer period?

Munk: Exactly. But the free-fall capsule was only one of three new components of the evolving program. It always pays to know the ultimate limits set by instrument noise and background noise. Having been spoiled by a high signal-to-noise ratio, the tidal community has ignored the noise background. David Cartwright and I made the caustic remark [97] that “noise-free processes do not occur except in the literature of tidal phenomena . . .,” a comment that did not endear us to the tidal community.

When you allow for the interstitial noise continuum it turns out that the weaker tidal harmonics that are routinely included in the traditional predictions are hopelessly contaminated; including them makes the prediction worse rather than better. From these considerations, Cartwright and I proposed the Response Method of Tidal Prediction [97].

Hasselmann: Can we return to this later? You mentioned a third consideration.

Munk: This was the time of the first meaningful solution of THE tide problem, defined as follows: given the motions of Earth, Moon and Sun, and the dimensions (bottom and sides) of the ocean basins, compute the global tides. The mathematician Chaim Pekeris,1 a U.S. citizen in residence in Israel, had just obtained a meaningful numerical solution using a rough bathymetry of the global oceans. Prior to that, Sir George Darwin (son of Charles) and other 19th century mathematicians had evaluated the tides for ocean basins of constant depth bounded by two lines of longitude, and other simple geometries.

---

1 Chaim Pekeris was born in Lithuania and became a naturalized U.S. citizen when he took his degree at MIT under C.-G. Rossby. Later he founded the Applied Mathematics Department at the Weizman Institute where he built the computer GOLEM. The computer is named for a mythical clay giant that was miraculously brought to life by a saintly rabbi to watch over Jewish citizens in 16th century Prague.
Judith, Myrl Hendershott, and I had gone to Israel to hear Pekeris speak about his achievement. Myrl had followed Pekeris calculation with a numerical solution that allowed for the tidal distortion of the solid Earth and gravitational self attraction (the so-called Love Numbers $k$, $h$), which changed the results by a factor of order 2. I remember that Pekeris was willing to talk to me about his own work but would not listen to Myrl (then only a Scripps Post Doc). There is a curious twist to this story. Pekeris had done his tidal work under an NSF (National Science Foundation) grant that allowed him to build GOLEM, one of the early electronic computers. When Judith and I called on Pekeris, we were astounded to see his premises in the Weizman Institute guarded by soldiers with loaded weapons. It was at about that time that rumors were current that Israel had developed an atomic bomb. Now the design of the bomb (as well as the solution of the tide problem) requires extensive computing facilities. Could Pekeris have helped design the atomic bomb with a computer provided by NSF under the pretense that he was working on the tide problem? This needs to be checked. Memory is not history.

Hasselmann: Numerical solutions of the tide problem (as you call it) must be one of the earliest applications of electronic computers.

Munk: I believe it was. John von Neumann, who is credited with having pioneered the design of electronic computers, was visiting La Jolla at the time. We spoke about the application to the tide problem, and he immediately asked, “What do you do about the coastal boundary condition?” A very perceptive question, which is still being worked on.

von Storch: Where did you go for support of the tide problem?

Munk: To both ONR and NSF. I remember being initially turned down with the words that, “The tide problem had gone to bed with 19th century mathematicians.” I protested that we had planned for a much broader attack: to compare theoretical deep-sea tides with measured deep-sea tides, using the Response Method for analysis.

Tides along the American west coast can be represented by a rotational edge traveling northward with velocity of order $\sqrt{(gh)} \approx 200 \text{ m/s}$ and long-shore wave length $\lambda_{\text{rot}} = \sqrt{(gh)/f} = \text{order } 10,000 \text{ km}$ and a seaward extent of order $\lambda_{\text{rot}}/2\pi$. \(^2\) (Recall that gravitationally trapped edge waves have $\lambda$ of order 10 km and can go either way.) Working with Jim Irish and Mark Wimbush, we first did some deep-sea drops off California \([119, 126]\) and located the Northeast Pacific $M_2$ amphidrome (zero amplitude). This was followed by drops between Australia and Antarctica, to explore the latitudes where the sublunar point travels around the southern oceans at the speed $\sqrt{(gh)}$ of free waves. A naïve theory predicts resonant amplification at

\(^2\) $f = 2\Omega \sin \text{ latitude}$ is the coriolis parameter. This horrible notation is due to Carl Gustav Rossby. He developed the equations for the Rossby waves while visiting Sverdrup in La Jolla. I was present when he was on the beach writing equations in the sand, and threatened by a rising tide introduced $f$ as an instant shorthand.
such latitudes. We didn’t believe the theory but made measurements anyhow. The result was a rather dull transition from Australian to Antarctic tides.

We organized an international SCOR (Scientific Committee on Oceanic Research) working group WG27 to explore deep-sea tides with the free-fall drops. Numerous measurements were made, particularly by Cartwright in the U.K. and Hal Mofjeld of NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration), Miami. Snodgrass participated in an international calibration experiment in the Bay of Biscay. Eventually about 200 pelagic tide stations have been occupied by different investigators, and these provided a check on the numerical modeling of tides (Fig. 6.1).

Our last drops were made in 1974 south of Bermuda in 5.5 km of water, as part of the MODE (Mid-Ocean Dynamic Experiment) experiment [142]. We discovered unexpected pressure fluctuations at subtidal frequencies that are coherent over 1000 km [143]! As far as I know these have not been explained. With regard to tides, an analysis by Bernard Zetler was in splendid agreement with the traditional Atlantic cotidal charts [145]. Two independent drops in the same area gave $M_2$ amplitudes of 32.067 and 32.074 cm. When it comes to four-figure accuracies, it is no longer oceanography. Further, satellite altimetry looked increasingly promising for future measurements of deep-sea tides. It was time to move on.

von Storch: You previously mentioned the Response Method of Tidal Prediction. What is that all about?
Munk: Cartwright and I proposed what we thought was a significant change in the method of tide prediction [97]. I will need to write a bit of mathematics. Let \( x(t) \) designate the tide producing forces, \( y(t) \) the spike response and \( z(t) \) the predicted tide, all referred to one particular tide station. Then the convolution integral gives the predicted tide, \( z = x \cdot y \). The harmonic method consists of evaluating the station tide spectrum \( Z(f) \) from a station record \( z(t) \) (using capitals for Fourier transforms) and then predicting future \( z(t) \) from a Fourier transform of \( Z(f) \). The trouble is that \( Z(f) \) is very complex, with the principal diurnal and semidiurnal lines split by monthly modulation, with further fine splitting by the annual modulation and hyper-fine splitting by the lunar 18.6 year modulation.

The discrete frequencies are not at equal intervals (as in classical harmonic analysis) but occur at \( f_{ijk} = c_i cpd + c_j cpm + c_k cpy + \ldots \) where the \( c \)'s are integral multipliers of the daily, monthly and yearly frequencies. Weak lines are improperly enhanced by including some of the noise continuum. There is no reference to the gravitational theory of tides (except for providing the \( f_{ijk} \) frequencies). In the response method we evaluate the tide producing forces \( x(t) \) directly from the known motions of Earth, Moon and Sun in the time-domain, and then use the station record \( z(t) \) to evaluate the station response \( y(t) \) once and for all. It turns out that the station admittance \( Y(f) \) is vastly simpler than \( X(f) \); there is no need of evaluating the infinitely complex spectrum \( X(f) \) or \( Z(f) \). In some tests by Zetler et al. [123] the response method came out better (but only slightly) than the harmonic method.

**Hasselmann:** So you improved one of the few geophysical predictions that already work well.

Munk: Guilty. But for very short records (such as the deep-sea recordings) the improvement was substantial.

**Hasselmann:** How about shallow regions with strong “overtides”?

Munk: That is an important point. For very flat shelves with strong nonlinear interactions the response method can easily be extended by a formalism parallel to extending a spectrum to a bi-spectrum . . .

**Hasselmann:** I see. Tukey again to the rescue – although I guess the use of nonlinear response function expansions in the time domain probably preceded their application in the frequency domain.

Munk: Perhaps, we at any rate were happy to work in either the frequency domain or time domain, whichever was more efficient for the problem at hand. Essentially what the response method does is to keep an open mind on what side of the Fourier transform is more compact. The three-body problem Earth-Moon-Sun has an exceedingly complex spectrum and the time domain is the domain of choice; if our world were associated with two-body tides (double-star without moons) it could be the other way around, the harmonic method would be the method of choice.
von Storch: *How did the oceanographic – or tidal – community respond to your emphasis in this case on the time domain instead of the time-honored frequency domain?*

Munk: At one time I booked myself into an international session on tide predictions; I think it was in Brussels. After my talk, 6 seconds of resounding silence. Then, “Next paper, please.”

Hasselmann: *I know the feeling. Were the available computing facilities adequate for the job?*

Munk: Which method is more efficient depends of course on the software tools available. That reminds me of our yearlong diversion in 1965 into writing a computer program called BOMM [91] that you mentioned at the beginning, Klaus. It was a great help in our early spectral analysis applications in the frequency domain. It was a crude forerunner to MATLAB. To compute the tide potential for any given date, it was necessary to allow for the loss of 10 days (5 October–14 October 1582) in the transition from the Julian to the Gregorian Calendar.\(^3\) This made it possible to compute the lunar orbit in antiquity. Ancient eclipses provide important information about the slowing in the Earth’s spin.

von Storch: *You appear to have looked into the history of tidal prediction rather closely, going far back in antiquity. Did you ever study pre-Newtonian attempts at tide predictions?*

### 6.1 The Alleged Suicide of Aristotle

Munk: Aristotle tried to predict the tidal currents through the strait of Euripus; there is a widespread story that when he failed he threw himself into the turbulent rapids. Adrian Gill\(^4\) and I thought this was a dangerous precedent for oceanographers, and we decided to investigate. So our combined families converged on Chalcis on August 1981.

\(M_2\) tides in the Mediterranean are unusually low and so the cancellation at neap tide is almost complete, leaving two days in each fortnightly cycle to be dominated by wind tides. Evidently Aristotle did a pretty good job of predicting the astronomic tides (even without the benefit of gravitational theory) but was unable to cope with the meteorological tides (we still can’t). Regarding Aristotle’s demise we were unable to come to a firm conclusion, even after days of spirited discussions with local historical experts in Chalcis pubs.

\(^3\) For anyone requesting the tide potential on one of the lost days, 7 October 1582 say, a note would appear, “Any son-of-a-bitch knows that these dates are missing.” We expected angry phone calls, but none ever came.

Hasselmann: Yet another open problem! I gather from you that the subject of tides had, at one time, been considered as having been put to bed, only to come up for a rude awakening.

Munk: . . . like a dharma doll. This has happened a number of times, the first time after the publication of Newton’s *Principia* in 1687. This gave the “equilibrium tides,” the appropriate response for an ocean with time constants very short as compared to the semi-diurnal tidal forcing. Tides of the solid Earth, with normal modes of order one-hour period, come close to equilibrium theory. But the oceans have resonant periods of order fractions of days, giving resonant responses to tidal forcing. For example, the Atlantic Ocean has a resonance near 12 hours. This is the result of the strange coincidence that the depth \( h \) and width \( L \) of the oceans are such that \( L/\sqrt{gh} \) is of order of half a day. Ocean tides require a *dynamic* theory of tidal response, as given by Laplace in *Mécanique Céleste* in 1800. (Incidentally Laplace initiated a crude method of tide prediction which resembles the response method.) For the second time the tide problem was considered solved. I suppose the third time it was solved was after Kelvin and George Darwin developed a practical method of ocean tide analysis and prediction. I would like to think that the advent of measuring tides offshore constitutes an important chapter. Here the contributions of David Cartwright stand out; it is true that he stood on the shoulders of giants. But with regard to contributing to the understanding of ocean tides as they are observed, he is second to none.

Hasselmann: The problem of tides has always been somewhat apart from the core problems of physical oceanography. And the community of tidal workers was somewhat separate from the general oceanography community. Would you agree that this suggests that tidal processes, although important and interesting in their own right, do not play a vital role in ocean dynamics?

Munk: I agree. There is at least one important exception: ocean mixing. This has a fascinating history, highlighted by great insights and curious errors. The first indication of a departure from Newtonian orbits was given by Halley in 1695; his “modern” observation indicated that the Moon had accelerated relative to the orbits indicted by ancient eclipses by 10 arcsec/century\(^2\). Sixty years later Emanuel Kant in a paper with a title the length of a normal abstract suggested that the lunar acceleration was consistent with tidal energy dissipation. But then Laplace in 1787 announced that he had computed a lunar acceleration of 10.18 arcsec/century\(^2\) from planetary perturbations of the orbit, mostly Jupiter (because it is so large) and Venus (because it is so close). This was considered a major triumph of 18th century science.

In 1853 Adams found Laplace had made an error and that the correct answer was but one-half of Laplace’s result. This required some additional phenomenon (such as tidal friction). But no one paid any attention, because it destroyed an acclaimed triumph. Not until G.I. Taylor’s estimates of tidal dissipation in the Irish Sea, followed by Jeffrey’s global extrapolation, was tidal dissipation accepted as a factor in orbital dynamics.
This produced a number of independent estimates of global dissipation. They all agreed within accepted error limits. The most precise information eventually came from lunar laser ranging using the retroreflectors placed on the Moon in 1969 during the Apollo mission. The semimajor axis of the Moon’s orbit is increasing at a rate of 3.8 cm/year, yielding a dissipation of 2400 GW from the $M_2$ tides.

My interest was aroused by an early study of what we now call the Meridional Overturning Circulation (MOC). The formation of bottom water in the winter, mostly in the North Atlantic, of 25 Sverdrups would fill the ocean basins with dense, cold water in 3000 years. But this does not happen. The simplest model is one where vertical upwelling of cold water is balanced by downward diffusion from the warm surface layers. This requires energy. Some very rough calculations gave 2000 GW, with very large error limits [231].

The similarity of the two numbers hits the eye; could the tidal dissipation provide the energy for pelagic mixing? There are of course many difficulties. Perhaps the outstanding difficulty is that only a fraction of the 2400 GW is available for pelagic mixing; some, perhaps most, is dissipated in shallow seas, and Harold Jeffreys in his 1920 paper “Tidal Friction in Shallow Seas” claimed all of it. In 1968 I gave the Harold Jeffreys Lecture [112] “Once Again – Tidal Friction” with the opening sentence, “In 1920 it appeared that Jeffreys has solved the problem of tidal friction. We have gone backwards ever since.” Thirty years later I returned to the subject [229] under the title, “Once Again: Once Again – Tidal Friction.”

In 1997 Carl Wunsch and I summed up the evidence in a “Child’s Guide” for tidal mixing entitled “The Moon, of Course” [230]. By then reaction to the proposal that the Moon played a significant role in deep ocean mixing had taken a sharp turn from being considered “lunatic” to being “well known;” Carl and I preferred the lunatic era.

Hasselmann: This is an interesting question: would we have a completely different global ocean circulation if there were no tides? My impression is that ocean circulation modelers are still quite happy to ignore the tides and consider only wind and radiation driving forces, together with various empirical mixing-type diffusion coefficients and bottom friction. It would be an interesting experiment to test your concepts in a global ocean circulation model. I believe you suggested that the tidal dissipation is a two-step process. First a scattering of surface tides into internal tides by bottom topographic features – beautifully visible nowadays on satellite images – and second the conversion of the internal tidal energy into small scale turbulence. But that brings us to the next significant topic of your interest, internal waves. Tell us something about the history of internal waves as you see it, and your personal involvement.

---

5 Jeffreys, H.: Tidal Friction in Shallow Seas, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical or Physical Character. 221, 239–264 (1920)
Chapter 7

Internal Waves 1971–1981

Munk: Scandinavian Fjords in late summer have a thin layer of fresh melt water above the salt water. A moving ship with its keel extending into the lower layer generates an internal wave at the fresh/salt water boundary (in addition to the surface wave wake). This greatly increases the wave making resistance. Vikings have pictures of underwater sea monsters hanging on to their boats.

von Storch: I did not know about this folklore … but when were internal waves actually discovered?

Munk: The theory goes back to G.G. Stokes in 1847. According to the Ocean Bible by Sverdrup, Johnson and Fleming, the earliest measurements were by Bjorn Helland-Hansen and Fridtjof Nansen in the Norwegian Sea in 1909. Sverdrup told me that his account of internal waves led to the only criticism of the Ocean Bible. Hans Pettersson complained bitterly that his father Otto Pettersson’s earlier measurements in the Kattegat had been neglected. Otto Pettersson had discovered internal tides breaking over the bank that separates Gullmarfjord from the sea and spent much of his subsequent career trying to convince his colleagues that tidal mixing is a factor in ocean climate. When Judith and I visited Hans Pettersson at his Institute in Goteborg in the 1960’s he was still angry. According to him there are three ways of demolishing a paper: by claiming the conclusions to be wrong, to be obvious, or to have been published previously; “with regard to my father’s work they used all three arguments.”

von Storch: What was your first contact with internal waves?

Munk: In summer 1939, when I first came to La Jolla, Sverdrup assigned me the analysis of some temperature data taken earlier that year by the schooner E.W. Scripps in the Gulf of California. This led to my first publication [1], “Internal Waves in the Gulf of California” with the conclusion that the observed oscillation cold be conciliated with a standing internal wave of seven-day period. There is some curious resemblance to Otto Pettersson’s work. If you don’t mind, I would rather skip to the internal wave work some thirty years later.
von Storch: All right. You are now referring to the widely quoted GM Internal Wave spectrum?

Munk: Yes. Chris Garrett and I had decided in our 1972 paper [130] to allow for built-in obsolescence by calling it “GM.” To our amazement it is still, as we speak, being referred to as some kind of a standard.

von Storch: To what do you attribute the longevity?

Munk: The need for some kind of standard for inter-comparison of different data sets. Chris Garrett arrived in 1970, a new product of the famed DAMTP (Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics) in Cambridge, England. He had declined a post-doc (few ever did) because he wanted to be closer to observations. I was reminded of young Sverdrup when he declined an appointment at the Bergen School to get his feet wet on the Maud expedition in the Arctic Ocean.

Chris and I started looking at what was by then a very voluminous literature on temperature, salinity, and velocity as functions of time, horizontal distance (leading to towed spectra), and depth (dropped spectra). For simplicity we chose a spectrum that could be factored into a function of frequency times a function of vertical wave number and took a cavalier attitude towards boundary conditions (Rosenbluth called them the Tijuana boundary conditions: topless and bottomless). To our delight the great majority of the diverse measurements taken at different times and places in the open global oceans was consistent within a factor of two with a simple model spectrum. This was a far cry from the original notion of internal waves as an exotic phenomenology.

Hasselmann: This is not the last time that a commonly occurring process was considered to be of rare and distinct occurrence. Think of mesoscale variability.

von Storch: But, in your view, is the GM spectrum still in good standing?

Munk: No. But it was to be thirty years until Rob Pinkel showed that arctic observations were inconsistent with the assumed factoring of the spectrum. By then Chris had gotten nervous and claimed that the G in GM referred to his great uncle Arthur Garrett. A few years later Pinkel demonstrated that one could go a long way with just two Doppler-smeared spectral lines: the $M_2$ tidal frequency and the local inertial frequency. Here I refer to the smearing of the spatial finestructure by the vertical orbital motion of the long internal waves. Curiously enough Chris and my first joint paper dealt with this very interaction [124]. Thirty years later Chris was given a 65th birthday party (Fig. 7.1), and I had been instructed to award him the William Leighton Jordan Esq. Award. Instead I chose to present the award to the GM spectrum [261b].

---

1 The award is an invention of Henry Stommel, to be “given annually to the oceanographer who makes the most misleading contribution to his field. Ignorance and utter incompetence do not automatically qualify.”
von Storch: How active is the subject of internal waves being pursued today?

Munk: There has been a renaissance brought about by the fact that internal waves can be seen on satellite altimetry. One thinks of internal waves of having large internal vertical displacements and negligible surface displacement (unlike surface waves). But negligible is not zero. Using satellite altimetry, Gary Egbert and Richard Ray have traced internal waves of tidal frequency from their origin over the Hawaiian Chain all the way to the Aleutians.

It follows that standard tide gauge records have a small contribution from internal tides. These are sensitive to changes in ocean stratification. The Honolulu tide gauge goes back to imperial days. John Colosi and I [257] have attributed an increase from 16.1 to 16.9 cm between 1915 and 2000 in the Honolulu amplitude of \( M_2 \) to a change in phase of the internal tide component.

Hasselmann: Actually, I have the impression that the puzzling ubiquity of the GM spectrum has triggered innumerable theoretical and experimental investigations not only in the past but even today – remember, for example, the excellent presentation on the distribution of internal wave energy in the Pacific Ocean by Jennifer MacKinnon at your 90th birthday symposium. What is the basic dynamics responsible for the universal GM spectral form? For example, while I was at the Woods
Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI) in 1971–1972, WHOI implemented a sophisticated tripod-mooring array of current meters and thermistors to measure the detailed modal structure of the fluctuations in the GM band. The resultant IWEX (Internal Wave Experiment) spectrum\(^2\) largely supported the GM internal wave model, but non-vorticity-conserving shear currents were also found to contribute to the variability. The universal form of the GM spectrum has been attributed by Müller and Olbers (1975)\(^3\) to the redistribution of the energy input (from the wind or topographic interactions with barotropic tides) via resonant wave-wave interactions – in analogy with the universal spectral form of wind-generated surface waves. And a number of modeling and data-assimilation exercises are currently in progress to test the impact of competing hypotheses on the origin of vertical mixing in the oceans on the global ocean circulation. So the publication of the GM spectrum has indeed been extremely fruitful for oceanography, both in the past and still today.


Hasselmann: In 1979 you and Carl Wunsch wrote a paper [157] entitled “Ocean acoustic tomography: a scheme for large-scale monitoring.” By then you had worked in oceanography for more than thirty years without, to my knowledge, being involved in ocean acoustics. This had largely been the domain of oceanographic specialists involved in Anti-Submarine Warfare (ASW). What made you go into ocean acoustics?

Munk: The mesoscale revolution. This called for a radically new sampling strategy; a few ships chasing independently across the oceans at 10 knots were not up to it. Carl and I thought that a method based on acoustic transmissions at 3000 knots could work.

von Storch: So once again you entertained the community by inventing new terminology, this time “Acoustic Tomography.”

Munk: Yes. We deliberately chose a name that would make people sit up and want to find out what we were talking about. CAT scans (for Computed Axial Tomography), as you know, are used by the medical profession in a related way. One measures the attenuation of electromagnetic radiation through a man’s skull along many, many different paths. From these measurements one then reconstructs what is inside the skull. Here we used traveltime instead of attenuation, but the principles are the same. There is a theory that for an infinite number of “path integrals” the interior function can be determined to infinite precisions. For a given configuration, inverse theory provides the error bars. Carl had pioneered the application of Inverse Theory to oceanographic explorations, something that had been sorely lacking.

Hasselmann: So you could pull together a set of new tools, just as you did when you went into the exploration of tides.

Munk: Exactly! Let me list some of them.

1. Inverse Theory, which *ab initio* provides the variance of each estimate.
2. Perhaps the outstanding feature of long-range acoustic transmissions is the great variability from one transmission to the next. We needed a model for the under-
lying temperature (actually soundspeed) noise. Earlier Russian work had taken a Gaussian model of isotropic, homogeneous variability. But the ocean is neither isotropic nor homogeneous. The GM model, though imperfect, provided an adequate model of the underlying small-scale variability.

3. We found it useful to define two idealized models of vertical soundspeed profiles: the temperate and the polar profile. They follow from a designation of the buoyancy (Väisälä) frequency according to $N = N_0e^{-z/h}$ and $N = 0$ respectively. I endowed the temperate profile with additional authority by naming it the “canonical sound channel.”

_von Storch:_ Another one of your interesting new terms.

Munk: Guilty, again.

4. Previous long-range transmissions, like the 406 Hz 1250 km Eleuthera to Bermuda transmission were CW; significant advantages are gained by using broadband signals permitting pulse-compression. We learned about m-sequences from Ted Birdsall.

_von Storch:_ m-sequences?

Munk: This is a sequence of zeroes and ones that have a uniquely delta-function like auto-covariance. By correlating the received record with a stored replica of the transmitted sequence you get a superior measure of travel time, and hence of sound speed.

_von Storch:_ You have listed four considerations for selecting ocean acoustic tomography. Are there others?

Munk: 5. We were permitted, with certain restrictions, to use the Sound Surveillance System (SOSUS) receiver arrays installed by the Navy during WWII.

There are many other innovations. It has taken twenty years to pull all this together. Among the people that made it work are Robert Spindel, Peter Worcester, Ted Birdsall, Kurt Metzger, Bruce Cornuelle, Matthew Dzieciuch, Bruce Howe, John Spiesberger, and Mike Brown. But the incentive clearly came from what I call the “mesoscale revolution.”

_von Storch:_ And what do you mean by “mesoscale revolution”?

Munk: For centuries oceanographers had followed the model of a time-invariant ocean circulation. Changes were attributed to changes in position rather than changes in time. An estimate of 10 ± 1 cm/s was considered more representative of ocean currents than 1 ± 10 cm/s. We now know that the reverse is true, and that over 95% of the kinetic energy is associated with mesoscale variability (ocean weather). This faulty concept of time invariability was upheld by an oceanographic tradition of never occupying a station twice. In the rare cases of a repeat station any change could always be attributed to instrument malfunctioning.
8.1 The Gulf Stream Sheds Eddies

It had been known since the time of Benjamin Franklin that there was something wrong with that picture. Fridtjof Nansen knew there was something wrong. But it took a non-traditional oceanographer like Fritz Fuglister to really break with tradition.

Woods Hole Director Columbus Iselin was uncomfortable with the background of the people who populated the oceanographic profession. At one time there had been a strong component of people who owned yachts or knew people who owned yachts (like Columbus); but I think he was also suspicious of the university people who leaned too heavily on their ability to manipulate differential equations. Among the Woods Hole faculty were Alan Woodcock and Fritz Fuglister. Woodcock had been a sailor on the original RV Atlantis when she first sailed in Woods Hole under Captain Iselin; Fuglister has been a starving Falmouth artist. And they certainly have left their mark!

8.1 The Gulf Stream Sheds Eddies

Munk: Gulf Stream eddies are the most dramatic manifestation of what is wrong with a steady ocean. Fritz had become the biographer of the Gulf Stream and had a sixth sense about its behavior. What was needed here was to have a number of ships occupy stations again and again. And Fritz talked the Navy into giving him six ships to undertake the Multiple Gulf Stream Experiment.

Scripps had been invited to participate and I signed up. Fritz invited me to join him on the Command Ship, the USS San Pablo. We had stumbled on a lucky moment: a Gulf Stream Ring about to be shed. Two oppositely traveling branches of the Gulf Stream were within 10 miles of one another. Fritz had us cut across the two branches, reversing course every two hours. The Captain had left orders to wake him with every change. Of course; he didn’t get much sleep.

von Storch: Can you tell a little what people thought about eddies at that time. Did they think the ocean was an eddy free environment?

Munk: No, they knew there were eddies. And they knew the Gulf Stream was not steady. But all this had to be put together.

von Storch: And then what happened?

Munk: This was just the beginning of a number of experiments. I want to mention in particular the subsequent Swallow Float measurements. John Swallow, a British oceanographer, had designed a float that could be ballasted to drift at a given depth. Its position could be accurately followed from a surface vessel using an acoustic signal. (This was the forerunner of acoustic oceanography.)
Stommel in his work on the general circulation had expected to find a deep undercurrent beneath the Gulf Stream, going with low velocity in the opposite direction to the surface current. I believe the early Swallow Float experiment was designed to test this model. The result put everybody on his feet. As I remember, the measured currents were ten times those expected. But to add insult to injury, two floats at the same depth and only 10 km apart (and expected to perform in parallel) were moving in opposite directions.

Hasselmann: I wonder if the reason that the steady-state picture persisted for so long was that it was inferred largely from the density structure? This is equivalent to viewing the ocean with a low-pass filter. And the overall picture of the mean transports inferred from the density structure appeared reasonably consistent.

Munk: That may well be the explanation.

von Storch: I also think it may have to do with a certain intellectual laziness. It is much easier to think of a stationary ocean circulation with just a few tidal periods superimposed. And then what happened?

8.2 The MODE Experiments

Munk: This led to the Mid-Ocean Dynamic Experiment (MODE).

von Storch: So MODE was a Woods Hole initiative. But Scripps was also involved?

Munk: Lots of groups became involved, including Scripps. I attended a fascinating planning session in Bermuda. It was there that Stommel and A.R. Robinson developed initial strategy using an old outdoor blackboard that no one could read.

MODE permanently changed the face of oceanography. Our response was the development of Ocean Acoustic Tomography.

8.3 Ocean Acoustic Tomography

Munk: Among the forerunners were the Garrett–Munk (GM) collaboration on internal waves starting 1971 [125, 139], the Zachariasen–Munk estimates of acoustic scintillations through a GM internal wave field [148], followed by the 1976 measurements by Peter Worcester and Frank Snodgrass of oppositely-directed transmissions between two deep “transceivers” 25 km apart.¹ Variations in the average of

the opposite travel times told us something about the soundspeed (hence temperature) profile in the intervening ocean, differences in travel time (with and against the current components) gave information about water movement. This is a powerful technique for measuring ocean features on a scale of tens of km, and it whetted our appetite for acoustic monitoring of the intense mesoscale features with typical dimensions of 100 km. For a 1000 km transmission of one-week duration we estimated the “noise” associated with a GM75 internal wave spectrum at 20 ms. The expected mesoscale signature was many times that large!

We formed a joint venture involving Robert Spindel of Woods Hole, Ted Birdsall of Michigan, Carl Wunsch of MIT (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) and our group at Scripps. In October 1978, Spindel put out a 2000 m deep mooring south of Bermuda. Our graduate student John Spiesberger monitored a coastal station 1000 km distant. The formation of the group was somewhat of a shotgun wedding under the persuasion of Hugo Bezdeck of ONR (and like other shotgun weddings has been one of outstanding stability). Results were promising: about a dozen distinct arrivals that could be clearly traced over the two-month transmission period. We were ready to propose a tomography array.

Hasselmann: *I seem to remember that your original proposal was declined.*

Munk: Yes. A proposal had been submitted to NSF to augment the existing ONR support. One of the reviewers wrote, “Travel times along ray paths are meaningless in a saturated environment.” He continued that individual ray arrivals could not be resolved, and even if resolved could not be identified, and even if identified would not be stable. The proposal was declined. Our 1978 Bermuda transmissions came just in time to save us from an early demise. We responded by sending a “dot-plot” of the 1978 transmissions with a single sentence, “We have resolved, identified and tracked 13 rays for 2 months, see figure.” The proposal was accepted.

Hasselmann: *And why was that anonymous reviewer so far off the mark?*

Munk: He was not. It has taken us thirty years to realize how lucky we were. Scattering can certainly destroy rays and all the good things that come with geometric optics. It is only now [256] that Dan Rudnick could demonstrate, using thousands of Monte Carlo transmissions, that in a flat ocean with refracted rays of small inclination, scattering occurs mostly along rays, and the ray structure is not destroyed. Further, the eastern Atlantic has turned out to be an unusually favorable environment for long-range acoustics. We were lucky.

von Storch: *So you started with emphasis on the newly discovered ocean weather. I seem to remember more recent experiments focusing on ocean climate. How did this change in emphasis come about?*

Munk: There has indeed been a gradual progression towards larger scales. The resemblance to our earlier discussion of ocean waves is interesting. There we started with ordinary wind waves, followed by exploring the topography controlled open
sea seiches, followed by deep-sea tides. Here we went from mesoscale mapping (ocean weather maps) in the presence of internal wave noise to gyre scale mapping (ocean climate) subject to mesoscale noise.

There were a series of 300 km experiments in the 1980’s, aimed at mapping mesoscale eddies. Although the number of acoustic paths between $N$ moored transceivers increases like $N!$, there were never enough moorings to get good spatial resolution. To improve the resolution, Snodgrass and Cornuelle made a heroic effort using a moving source ship. Eventually it turned out that a good solution is to combine the good spatial resolution of satellite altimetry with the good temporal resolution of ocean acoustic tomography.

I count 21 sound-related experiments between 1976 and 1994 (Table A.1 of [225]). Some of the most interesting are not tomography related. I have previously referred to the pioneering two-way transmission experiment by Worcester and Snodgrass. This was on a 25 km range. Malanotte-Rizzoli and others used bottom-mounted instruments under the Gulf Stream. Worcester and Spindel measured the barotropic vorticity in the central North Pacific (turned out much bigger than expected from Sverdrup dynamics). Worcester, Sutton, and others installed a 200 km Pentagon array in the Greenland Sea (Fig. 8.1) in summer 1988 and retrieved it in summer 1989, observing the evolution of an overturn event in the intervening winter. Worcester monitored the in and outflow through the Straits of Gibraltar. Various measurements
provided critical tests of the equations of state of seawater, and led to a correction of
the Del Grosso sound speed algorithm. These and other experiments demonstrated
a wide range of applications for the technique.

And we were gradually evolving towards ever larger-scale transmissions. In 1977
Spindel put out a 2000 m deep mooring south of Bermuda that was monitored by
Spiesberger off the east coast 1000 km distant. By 1984 Birdsall and Spiesberger
conducted some 4000 km transmissions between Hawaii and the west coast. In 1991
we carried out the Heard Island experiment for testing the feasibility of using global
scale acoustic transmissions. (The next step will be more difficult.) Here we are talk-
ing about a few widely separated acoustic paths, and we called it “Ocean Acoustic
Thermometry.”

von Storch: There you go again, inventing new terms. The Heard Island experiment
elicited perhaps a greater response, positive and negative, than anything else you
have done. What do you think about it, now that eighteen years have passed?

8.4 Heard Island

Munk: I have mixed feelings. When we returned from the Indian Ocean, Der Spiegel
carried an article entitled “Radau in der Tiefe.” Others referred to “The Shot that
was heard around the World.” The publicity led to a vastly enhanced interest in the
impact of sound on marine life. With it came restrictions, some unwarranted I think,
on the use of sound for ocean studies. We have never recovered.

von Storch: We will return to the marine mammal problem. How did Heard Island
come about?

Munk: I was itching to test the range limits of man-made acoustic signals. In 1960
the RV Vema and HMAS (Her Majesty’s Australian Ship) Diamantina had detonated
three 300 lb explosives near the sound axis off Perth, Australia. The detonations
were clearly recorded by axial hydrophones off Bermuda at a 178.2° range (180°
is antipodal). The recording was considered remarkable by John Ewing (brother of
Maurice) as much of the great circle is blocked by Kerguelen Bank. Allowing for
lateral refraction and Earth flattening moved the ray path to the north of the shoals
but at the expense of colliding with the African continent [190]. We now think that
the intense mesoscale eddy activity off Cape of Good Hope allows scattered arrivals
around the Cape. The problem is not solved.

In 1989, Andrew Forbes from the CSIRO (Commonwealth Scientific and Research
Organization) laboratory in Hobart and I started planning a repeat antipodal trans-
mission, but with non-explosive sources [194]. We figured a decrease in travel time
from global warming of 0.1 to 0.2 s per year, permitting detection in a decade. For

2 Radau in der Tiefe. Der Spiegel 32 (1991)
source location we chose Heard Island, an uninhabited Australian island in the south Indian Ocean, with direct unimpeded geodesic paths into all five ocean basins, westward past Africa into the South and North Atlantic, eastward past New Zealand into the South and North Pacific, and northward into the Indian Ocean. A southward path towards Antarctica was readily available. And by 1991 with the enthusiastic support of the Navy Oceanographer Admiral Richard Pittenger we were in Freemantle in western Australia ready to depart.

We used existing HLF-4 low-frequency sources of high intensity (217 dB re 1 µPa at 1 m), that could be lowered through the center well of the RV Cory Chouest. Rather late in the planning stage we had been required to obtain a NOAA permit for turning on the sources (we were using American government equipment). And soon thereafter the Australian Environment minister followed with the requirement of a permit. We had scheduled the first transmission to start at 0000 Greenwich Mean Time on 26 January, Australia Day. Oceanographers from 9 countries aboard 12 ships in all of the world’s oceans (except the Arctic Ocean) were readying their equipment. Postponement would have been tantamount to cancellation. So we left on our two weeks passage without permits. As it was, the NOAA permit arrived one week, and the Australian permit within 24 hours of Australia Day, the Australian permit with the welcome message “Good Luck and calm seas.” The latter were not to be [210].

A major concern was whether the distant stations would be able to detect our signal. Birdsell had made several estimates that varied from “undetectable” to “easily detectable.” I cannot think of any other experiment we conducted where the outcome was so uncertain.

We arrived at Heard Island five days prior to transmission, with the three kilometer high glaciers atop Big Ben glowing over us (Big Ben has been climbed only once). The island was discovered by American Captain John Heard. For navigation we used the 1853 charts prepared by Mrs. Heard (they were excellent). The acoustic technicians aboard that Cory followed their usual practice of a five-minute equipment check just prior to zero hours. I had gone to bed in anticipation of a 24-hour day, when I was woken with an angry message from Metzger in Bermuda, “Received signal 12 hours prior to zero hours. What is going on?” I had hardly gone back to sleep when a second message arrived, this one from Birdsell at Whidbey Island near Seattle, Washington, having received our test transmission from the opposite way around the globe. So here was the answer to our concern, and it was not yet Australia Day. That moment was the high point of my career.

von Storch: I hope you slept well after that. And how did things go the following day?

Munk: They went well (Fig. 8.2). Our transmission was detected at all stations except by the Japanese station in the Tasman Sea, which was blocked by an uncharted seamount. After a week of transmission we were hit by a storm and all ten sources
were demolished. One was left lying on the sea floor. When I am asked, what is the longest you have ever had a source in the water, I say fifteen years and getting longer by the day. It was during the storm that I suddenly recalled that thirty years earlier we had drawn a crude weather map centered on some distant uninhabited island called Heard Island [85] (Fig. 8.3). The information came from tiny wiggles in a spectrum of waves recorded off San Clemente Island. And now we were here, where the swell begins.

The captain tried to cheer me up, “We had been told that the equipment could withstand any imaginable sea state. And we have gone to some length, to some discomfort, to test this statement.” When I reported to Roger Revelle back at Scripps he responded, “I wish I were with you, and then again I’m glad I am not.” After the storm abated, RV Cory Chouest beat her way westward to Capetown. One day out of Capetown, we made a landfall on Prince Edward Island calling on a meteorological contingent who had not had an outside contact for 14 months. (Among them were two professional sharp shooters with orders to eliminate the wild cat population that was eating the local birds.) Access was up a cliff via exposed rope ladders. On the way back a member of our scientific party refused to climb down; she had to be lowered by rope. I slipped returning to the boat and was saved from falling overboard by Elmer S. Hindman III of the Corey crew who grabbed the collar of my jacket.

Hasselmann: Did you learn something worthwhile?

Munk: I think so. The Journal of the Acoustic Society of America (JASA) devoted the entire October 1994 issue (95:4) to “The Heard Island Papers; a contribution to
Fig. 8.3 A sequence of antipodal obsession. In 1964, waves from the southern hemisphere storms were followed along six stations (red dots) from New Zealand to Alaska. This established the origin of California’s summer swell, some from as far away as the Indian Ocean. In 1991, a global array of hydrophones (black dots) recorded acoustic signals from Heard Island in the Indian Ocean, thus establishing the feasibility of global acoustic transmissions; after a week of successful transmissions the sources were demolished in a storm of the type that had been monitored from afar (and forgotten) twenty-seven years earlier. In a climate oriented basin-scale experiment led by Peter Worcester from 1996 to 2006, acoustic transmissions from Pioneer Seamount (black lines, solid) and Kauai, Hawaii (dashed) to an array of SOSUS stations monitored the decadal heat content of the North East Pacific using the methods of “Ocean Acoustic Tomography.”

global acoustics.” There were 17 contributions. Birdsall discussed signal-processing issues in the face of the enormous a priori uncertainties. During transmissions the Cory was underway at 4 knots into wind and waves to avoid being broached. It developed that the motion of the source ship (which we regarded as a necessary evil) was turned into a magnificent asset. Maximum Doppler is associated with transmission in the direction of the ship’s course; any reduction is a measure of the geodesic launch angle relative to the ship’s course. We found (i) the overall mean Doppler yielded accurate geodesic launch angles that agreed well with a priori calculations of the acoustic paths for all stations except those to the American west coast. For those the angles disagreed wildly. Evidently the direct path through the Tasman Sea is blocked, but a relatively weak signal reached the west coast passing east of New Zealand. (ii) Slight differences in Doppler between early and late ray-like arrivals at a fixed station are associated with the vertical inclination of the source angle and again the associated Doppler can be used for ray identification. (iii) Correlated phase fluctuations over the entire frequency band were traced to slight variations in the speed of the source ship (surge). Departures of order 5 m from a steady course (determined from the Ascension Island and Christmas Island records) are in ac-
cord with GPS (Global Positioning System) aboard Cory (taking advantage of the fact that GPS was on a war alert and its coarse acquisition (CA) code was NOT degraded in the usual manner.) So we can check the performance of a helmsman at 10,000 km distance (which is of absolutely no use). Nevertheless, we concluded that even if the weather had permitted a stationary source, the information gained by (i) and (ii) would suggest using a moving source.

Kuperman reported on the stripping of acoustic modes, and the subsequent repopulation. The scattering into neighboring acoustic modes near sharp oceanic fronts (principally the Antarctic Circumpolar Front) and from bathymetric features intruding into the sound channel and the repopulation of previously dissipated modes are an important attribute of global acoustics which had not been anticipated. The subtitle to the JASA volume was, “A contribution to global acoustics.” We might immodestly claim that the Heard Island Experiment initiated a new discipline.

The biological study was a late addition and severely limited by the short period available for the base study prior to the transmissions. Observations were restricted to times when the winds were weaker than force 8. The limited evidence suggests some behavioral changes in whales, but there is no evidence of distress.

von Storch: Would there be any point for a repeat?

Munk: Dushaw has suggested a repeat of the Perth–Bermuda transmission. The reduction in travel time due to global warming is estimated to be of the order of 10 s! A great part of the acoustic path is in the deep waters of the southern oceans where we have very little information. It would be lovely to have a single measure of global ocean warming over the last fifty years. But what measure? If the Keeling measurements of atmospheric CO₂ at a single point (Mauna Loa) can give meaningful information about a global increase by 6 gigatons of atmospheric CO₂ per year, why cannot measurements of ocean temperature at a single location (or along a single path) give a meaningful measure of increased joules of ocean heat content?

Hasselmann: Because trace gasses in the atmosphere are well mixed whereas heat in the oceans is not.

Munk: What is at the basis of this asymmetry?

Hasselmann: In the atmosphere, the residence time of greenhouse gases, in particular CO₂, is large compared with the mixing time, whereas heat in the deep ocean is transported with little large-scale mixing on centennial to millennia time scales, so the temperature changes due to global warming are quite inhomogeneous. And superimposed on the global warming signal in the upper ocean is a significant decadal-scale natural variability.

Munk: We find that even within the relative confinement of the northeast Pacific, some of the acoustic transmissions show decadal cooling, in opposition to the overall trend. There have been many occasions where some striking ocean observations
turned out to be only of local significance. With this in mind, when fifty years ago Keeling was about to commence his famous recording of CO$_2$ at Mauna Loa, I expressed my doubt whether this could ever give an indication of a global trend. Fortunately no one paid any attention, and the Keeling Curve is now the most famous time series in climate.

### 8.5 Whales

*von Storch: You previously spoke about the problem of interference with marine mammals. When did this problem first come up?*

Munk: The problem first came up during the planning stage for the Heard Island Experiment. In August 1990 we were informed that we required a permit from the National Marine Fisheries Service of NOAA. To make planning even more difficult, the Australian authorities who had previously supported the test plan without raising the issue of a permit, now decided that one would be required. The concern was that the level of acoustic sources was potentially a threat to marine mammals. This was unexpected; the question of a permit had never come up in 12 years of work in ocean acoustic tomography, albeit with less powerful sources. Moreover, oceanographers had long used much more powerful sources for seabed exploration.

We were required to charter a second vessel, the RV Amy Chouest, for in situ biological observations. Ann Bowles assembled in record time a team of three Australian and six American biologists. We worked out a protocol with the permitting authorities whereby, should there be any evidence of, or potential for harmful effects on marine mammals, the experiment would be delayed or cancelled. As it turned out there was no indication of harmful disturbances, and in some instances the animals even swam towards the Cory during transmission. The “biological add-on” certainly added to the expense and excitement of the feasibility test, yet it provided a welcome partnership with a devoted group of observers who worked under some very severe weather conditions.

*von Storch: What happened after your return?*

Munk: There was a lot of publicity. I have previously mentioned the article “Radau in der Tiefe” in the August 1991 issue of Der Spiegel. We now proposed a continuation of the ATOC (Acoustic Thermometry of Ocean Climate) experiments in the Northeast Pacific. At Heard Island we had transmitted 1 out of every 3 hours at a depth of 150 m using multiple sources with a combined 16,000 W (221 dB re 1 µPa) of acoustic power. The proposed ATOC schedule was six 20-minute transmissions every fourth day (2% duty cycle) at 260 W (195 dB) at 1 km depth. We were at sea installing a source when a front-page article in the *Los Angeles Times*[^3]

claimed that ATOC would lead to the death of 750,000 California Gray Whales. The problem was that the decibel scale is different in air than in water. 195 dB in air corresponds to 260 million watts (three million times the proposed 195 watts), which would indeed be fatal. I had a strained meeting with the reporter, Richard Paddock, and the error was later corrected (again on the front page). But the outcry in the international press with literally many hundreds of articles (99% unfavorable) has led to years of frustration and legal proceedings.

Carl Wunsch, Peter Worcester, and I have summarized our findings in *Ocean Acoustic Tomography* (Fig. 8.4). Peter and I spent three months in Tasmania in 1989 making up our minds what was to be included (Fig. 8.5). This was my third (and last book) and again we chose Cambridge University Press as our publisher [225].

8.6 The Last Twenty Years

I have had an early experience with a project (Mohole) that failed because the principal investigators (including me) would not give it the time required to keep it afloat (perhaps we can discuss that later). I had walked away with the determina-

---

tion never again to participate in a project unless I was willing to give it all the time required. And so Judith and I, and Pete Worcester, have participated in dozens of public hearings in Hawaii, California, and Washington. Let me tell you about a typical hearing.

v. Storch: Do we have to listen?

Munk: Please do. For example, a meeting was announced at 7 PM at some public high school gym on the Island of Kauai. There would be about 500 people, some of which had signed up to speak for 3 minutes. We had the first 20 minutes to present the subject. Then for about 5 hours there would be a hundred speakers, nearly all of them voicing opposition to the acoustic transmissions. What I found the most difficult is not being able to respond when the opposition was based on a mistaken factual premise. Some time after midnight we were permitted to respond, but by then there were only a few hardy souls left in the hall. I remember Judith and I walking home through empty streets wondering what had been accomplished.

But we did keep Acoustic Thermometry afloat. Under Pete’s leadership the technology has dramatically improved. Pete deployed a source on Pioneer Seamount off the coast of California, and later a source north of Kauai, Hawaii. Transmissions were to a dozen SOSUS receiver arrays in the Northeast Pacific. Permits for the

---

5 We will not forget the appearance of Darlene Ketten at many of these meeting. Darlene is on the Woods Hole faculty and perhaps the leading student of the hearing of marine mammals. She never hesitated to present pertinent experimental results to an unfriendly audience.
sources included a sunset clause. We ended up with a decade of northeast Pacific transmissions.

The conclusions are that (i) acoustic thermometry does a very good job of estimating the heat content of the northeast Pacific, (ii) ten years was not long enough to provide a good measure of long-term warming in the presence of intense decadal variability, and (iii) comparison of the measured travel times of individual transmissions with those derived from modeling, Argo Float measurements plus satellite altimetry generally shows good agreement, but occasional sharp disagreements (which are not understood).

Klaus has heard some of this before; when I was given a chance to vent our frustrations in the Reimar Lüst Lecture [238d] “Listening to Ocean Climate” at the 25th anniversary of the Max-Planck-Institut fuer Meteorologie.

Hasselmann: Yes, I have heard this before. And I am impressed by your persistence in the face of much adversity – and the advances you have nevertheless achieved! And how would you assess the future role of Acoustic Technology in global climate studies?

Munk: Grim! I will ask you to listen to my prejudiced summary assessment. We all agree that climate change is a leading problem of today. About two-thirds of the incremental heat content is stored in the oceans, and needs to be measured for any sensible bookkeeping. Further, substantial global temperature variations with decadal time constants are superimposed on greenhouse warming, and these need to be understood, predicted and subtracted from the overall warming in order to convince society to do something about the anthropogenic component. I suspect that Enso-like ocean variability is at the root of some of this and will require ocean temperature monitoring on a basin scale.

Hasselmann: I agree with you entirely. But what is to be done?

Munk: Oceanographers are monitoring the ocean now with a combination of Argo floats, satellite altimetry and modeling. I believe we would do significantly better if OAT\textsuperscript{6} were a component. OAT has clearly lost out in the competition with Argo. Argo has the advantage of small start-up costs (though the overall cost may be equivalent). OAT has the advantage of an inherent averaging, vertically through the total ocean column, horizontally on a basin scale. Twenty-five pelagic moorings with appropriate global distribution would do the job. NSF at one time had set aside funds for a global mooring system (as successor to weather ships), with provisions for power, data transmission and time keeping (this would take care of most of the cost of OAT), but has since withdrawn the mooring system into coastal waters.

This leaves the marine mammal problem. I believe that OAT can do a superior job, and with proper precautions will do no harm to marine life. But given the opposition

\textsuperscript{6} For Ocean Acoustic Tomography. As a member of the AAS (Anti-Acronym Society) I have tried until now to avoid this ugly step.
of environmental groups, NSF is (and even some of our supporters are) afraid to touch it.

*von Storch: And what about the future?*

Munk: Only God knows. Let me remind you of the Mohole project. There, after having solved some very challenging technical problems, the project was terminated on account of the incompetence of the principal contractor. But ten years later it arose spontaneously in the guise of the immensely successful Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP). OAT is still waiting.
Hasselmann: Oh yes, the Mohole business, I well remember the drama of its later stages while I was at IGPP in the early sixties.

d'von Storch: I don’t know the name.

Munk: One of the major boundaries in the solid Earth is the transition from crust to mantle. This is associated with a change in seismic properties at 30 km under continents and 5–10 km under the oceans floor. It was discovered by Professor Andrija Mohorovičić, a Yugoslavian seismologist, whose name no one could pronounce.

In spring of 1957 the initial NSF panel on Earth Sciences had just sat through two days of reviewing sixty-five proposals. We were recovering over a drink when the question came up of what would be the project that could lead to the greatest advance in understanding the Earth, cost being no object? Harry Hess and I suggested drilling the “Mohole” through the sea floor to collect a sample of the Earth’s mantle. The required development of keeping a drilling vessel in place and, if necessary, of re-entering the hole, seemed within reach of existing acoustic technology.

We put together an informal group to plan a feasibility test: Revelle, Hess, Joshua Tracey, Maurice Ewing, Harry Ladd, Munk. We chose an unlikely group for administrative support: AMSOC.

d'von Storch: Who is AMSOC?

Munk: The American Miscellaneous Society “for maintaining cooperation with visitors from outer space and for informing animals of their proper taxonomic positions” was founded by Gordon Lill, John Knauss, and Maxwell, all from the early ONR. The Society is internationally known for the Albatross Award, a stuffed bird presented at irregular intervals to an oceanographer for some “unusual achievement” (I am a proud Albatross Laureate). There are no officers, no dues, no bylaws. AMSOC submitted a formal proposal to NSF. The Foundation did not decline outright, but suggested that it would entertain a proposal from the same group if they attached themselves to a more substantive organization. And so there came about the
AMSOC committee of the National Academy of Sciences (NAS). I.I. Rabi, who reviewed the proposal on behalf of the Academy Council, remarked: “Thank God, we’re finally talking about something besides space.”

By 1961 we were aboard the CUSS I (named for the Continental, Union, Superior, and Shell Oil Companies) drilling off Guadalupe Island in 12,000 feet of water (Fig. 9.1). Willard Bascom was in charge working with Ed Horton and Francois Lampietti. The drilling vessel was continually “underway,” driven by four large outboard propellers to maintain a fixed position relative to three sonic bottom transponders, the first demonstration of “dynamic positioning.”\(^1\) In spite of foul weather the drill penetrated 560 feet of sediment and then a few feet of basalt. John Steinbeck and Fritz Goro were along to record the event for *Life* magazine. The test was completed on time and within the allotted budget of $1.7 million.

When Bascom wired NAS President Detlev Bronk that we had reached basalt, we took it for granted that Mohole was in the bag. Little did we realize that from this moment on the project was doomed.

**von Storch:** Why is that?

Munk: What happened was altogether unsuspected. Industry, which had been on the sidelines until the Guadalupe drilling, now showed a sudden interest. When NSF held a briefing in July 1961 preparatory to appointing a Prime Contractor, 200 people representing 84 companies attended. By the time of the deadline – September – ten proposals had been received. The proposal by Socony Mobil in partnership with General Motors, Texas Instruments and Standard Oil of California was rated first by the NSF Evaluation Panel (“...in a class by itself”). A proposal by Brown & Root, a Houston based construction firm with no offshore experience was rated fifth. When questioned about the lack of experience, Herman Brown is said to have replied, “I can always hire an acre of engineers.”

The rest is history.\(^2\) NSF Director Waterman chose Brown & Root. Three years later, with $50 million spent and no results, the project folded; Mohole had become No Hole. We have never found out how Brown & Root had been chosen, but the rumor mill attributed it to the fact that Herman Brown was a friend of President Johnson. Further, we had all taken for granted that the Bascom group would be involved, but after an initial period they were removed.

**von Storch:** And what is the lesson here?

Munk: We must all share the responsibility for the Mohole debacle. It was foolish to expect that we could go home and do our favorite science and expect the project to proceed according to our plans. I walked away with the determination never again

---

\(^1\) This was a significant step towards developing the technology of offshore oil production.

to participate in a project unless willing to give it the time required. The Mohole experience accounts how we reacted to the opposition to Ocean Acoustic Tomography. Over tens of years there have been many dozens of meetings, in Washington, in California, in Hawaii, where we responded for many hours to charges that OAT was endangering marine life. Some charges were reasonable, and we have modified the experiments accordingly; most of the charges made no sense. Pete Worcester has carried the brunt of this.

Hasselmann: So that is what you had in mind when you spoke about the whale problems encountered in Ocean Acoustic Tomography. But the two situations are really very different. OAT is now a functioning technology, even if it did not achieve the prominent role you and Carl Wunsch had envisioned. And with regard to Mohole you started out by having fun playing games with the American Miscellaneous Society; whoever heard of a government agency (a funding agency in particular) with a sense of humor? How could you expect to have NSF take you seriously later on?

Munk: …
Hasselmann: And then what happened?

Munk: We have already spoken of the death and resurrection of the Mohole project: the hugely successful Ocean Drilling Project. Will there be an equivalent history to Ocean Acoustic Tomography? We are waiting.
Chapter 10

The Wobbling Earth 1950–1960

Hasselmann: Your early work was on water waves, and your recent work on acoustic waves, both dealing with the fluid ocean. The Mohole discussion dealt with solid Earth geophysics. Tell us about other non-fluid topics you have worked on.

Munk: I spent a decade on problems associated with the irregular rotation of the Earth. It came about by accident. I had learned about the high-altitude atmospheric jet stream from the Finish meteorologist Eric Palmen (whom I had met through C.-G. Rossby).

von Storch: I believe it was discovered by the U.S. Air Force in WWII when they noticed it took longer than expected to fly westward to Japan.

Munk: It parallels Ben Franklin’s discovery of the Gulf Stream when he noticed that mail from England to the United States took a day longer than on the reverse route. Palmen found that the high altitude jet contained most of the atmospheric angular momentum. And Victor Starr in 1948 had noted a seasonal variation (only partially cancelled by the summer hemisphere jet) must be accompanied by “undetectable inequalities in the rate of the Earth rotation…” the net angular momentum of the Earth being conserved. How big is undetectable? A stronger winter jet would be associated with a longer winter length of day (lod). I started reading about clocks and found that M. Nicolas Stoyko and Mme A. Stoyko of the Bureau de L’Heure in Paris had discovered in 1936 that the lod in January exceeded the lod in July by 2 ms. This was based on precision pendulum clocks. A very simple calculation showed that this measured variation agreed in amplitude and phase with that inferred from the seasonal wind variation [21].

Jeffreys had noted as early as 1916 that a seasonal shift in air mass associated with the high pressure in winter over Siberia must lead to wobble of the Earth relative to a rotation axis fixed in space. Wobble and spin are the \( x \), \( y \), and \( z \)-components of a single vector of Earth rotation. It is not \emph{a priori} obvious that wobble should be controlled by mass shifts and spin by the velocity variations. When I mentioned
the lod result to Jeffreys during my 1955 sabbatical in Cambridge, England, he responded with a loud, “Oh No!” It was his only expression of surprise in many years of association.¹

There was a curious dichotomy between the \( x, y \)-community (International Latitude Service) and the \( z \)-community (The Time Standard people) even though they were measuring the effects of the same geophysical processes. It would seem worthwhile to study the processes side by side.

\textit{Hasselmann: So that is what prompted you to dive into this subject?}

Munk: Yes indeed. From the annual variation I went into the Chandler Wobble, the 14-month free rotation of the Earth. This is the source of the one cm pole tide² (the only tidal component NOT caused by the gravitational attraction of Moon and Sun). I was giving a talk on the pole tide at Harvard when I was severely questioned by an undergraduate in the first row. A year later I gave a similar talk at Cambridge, and there he was again in the front row, taking me to task for not having responded to his previous criticism. When you can’t fight them, join them. And that is how Gordon MacDonald (the undergraduate critic) and I got started on writing \textit{Rotation of the Earth; A Geophysical Discussion} [72].

We dedicated our book to Sir Harold Jeffreys. Jeffreys worked at the Met Office during the WWI and his interests shifted to Geophysics. His book \textit{The Earth} had gone through three editions (1924, 1929, 1952). At the time we published \textit{Rotation of the Earth}, \textit{The Earth} had become the bible of theoretical Geophysics. Unfortunately he refused to recognize revolutionary developments in what is now known as Plate Tectonics, and the three subsequent Editions published in 1962, 1970, 1976 (reprinted 2008) increasingly lost their relevance. I wish Jeffreys had stopped with his third edition.

\textit{Hasselmann: Neither you nor Gordon really knew anything about Astronomy. There must have been some resentment by the traditional astronomic community.}

Munk: There is above all the problem of learning a new language. In the United States time keeping is done at the Naval Observatory in Washington D.C. William Markowitz was our nation’s timekeeper, and he took a dim view of the oceanographic intrusion into his domain.³ There is some resemblance between the communities of position astronomers and tidal analysts. We found that some topics can

---

¹ In 1914 when my mother was a student in Cambridge with a major in Botany, Jeffreys was Reader in Botany. His war work in the Meteorological Office turned his interest to geophysics, and for many years he dominated the field, with some emphasis on problems in Earth rotation. He is known for having calculated Earth wobble due to leaves falling to the ground in autumn, and related seasonal processes (this intriguing calculation is probably the only geophysical application Sir Harold has been able to make of his early days as a botanist).

² Carl Wunsch wrote his PhD thesis on long period tides. Carl was a student of Henry Stommel who detailed Carl to La Jolla for information on the odd topic of the pole tide.

³ My 65th birthday celebration was published (SIO Reference Series 84-5) with the title line, “It’s the water that makes you drunk.” W. Markowitz told of the logical scientist who set out to
be clarified by including consideration of the underlying noise continuum. Gordon and I then followed the trail from the “high-frequency” annual terms to irregularities of ever longer periods.

Hasselmann: So here again you followed a pattern of going from high to low frequencies. This is the pattern you followed in your wave work, and much later in acoustic tomography. I wonder whether there is an advantage of exploring in the direction of increasing frequency rather than the other way around?

Munk: I had not realized until just now that this is a repeated pattern in my work. Whether there is an inherent advantage, I don’t know. I suppose it is a way of avoiding being fooled by high frequencies appearing under the alias\(^4\) of low frequencies.

Hasselmann: What were some of the lower frequency phenomena?

Munk: There is a large decadal variation in the lod, which goes under the name “The Great Empirical Term of Newcomb.” Relative to some mean, the lod varied from

\[
\begin{align*}
1970: & -4 \text{ ms; } \\
2005: & +3 \text{ ms; } \\
1930: & -2 \text{ ms. }
\end{align*}
\]

This is larger than the annual amplitude of order 1 ms. The cumulative time error is of order 10s! This term is presumably associated with a variable rotation of the fluid core of the Earth, and there is some evidence provided by comparison with the westward drift of the Earth’s magnetic field (I have not kept up with recent literature). Newcomb was Astronomer Royal at the turn of the century. We had the pleasure of discussing this problem with his successor Sir Harold Spencer Jones at Herstmonceux Castle.

On a century time scale the variable rotation is dominated by the effect of tidal friction. We are so fortunate to live in an epoch where the angular diameters of Sun and Moon are nearly equal, so that the occurrence of an eclipse is a remarkably accurate statement of the positions of Sun and Moon. Halley in 1695 compared his “modern” observations with positions derived from ancient eclipses and concluded that the Moon was being accelerated. The associated numbers are astounding: ancient eclipses occurred hours later, or thousands of kilometers eastward, of their expected times and positions. Since the study of ancient eclipses requires competency in both astronomy and antiquities, the field has never been overcrowded.

Here I have referred to the \(z\)-component of the vector of Earth rotation. There is interesting phenomenology associated with the \(x, y\)-components. For example, the

determine the cause of drunkenness. On successive days he consumed large qualities of scotch and water, bourbon and water, and vodka and water. Becoming drunk in each case he concluded that the water was responsible. Markowitz went on to find a parallel in the authorship of a number of then recent papers on the rotation of the Earth [49, 60, 72] singling me out as the common ingredient in the infiltration of geophysicist into what had been the domain of astronomers.

\(^4\) This is one of many examples where Tukey introduced some highly descriptive terms into the language of spectral analysis.
The pole of rotation is now moving towards Greenland at the rate of 25 feet per century. The problems of Polar wandering on a geologic time scale has led to heated discussions by scientists and crackpots alike. The discussion is now dominated by the paleo-magnetic evidence that shows the large relative movements of continents. I am impressed by the changes in fashion of what is believed to be true at any one time. Physicists gave decisive reasons why polar wandering could not be true when it was weakly supported by paleoclimatic evidence; now that rather convincing paleomagnetic evidence has been discovered they find equally decisive reasons why it could not be otherwise.

von Storch: I can understand why you have found this subject so fascinating. But has it led to a solid advance in what we understand about the Earth?

Munk: I think so, and here is why. The diversity of the subject is appalling, touching wind and air masses, atmospheric, oceanic and bodily tides, sea level and motion in the fluid core. But the information is limited to certain integral quantities taken over the entire globe. This is the weakness of the method – and its strength. In principle such integrated quantities can be evaluated by appropriate summations of individual station values. For competitive accuracy one usually finds that the stations are too unevenly distributed, and too few. This is true now; we doubt whether it will ever be any different.

Hasselmann: A great way to learn about the subject is to look at it from diverse points of view. If nothing else, your sojourn from oceanography must have been a fine learning experience.

Munk: It was. But it was something else also. The first draft was written during a year in Cambridge. It provided the opportunity of getting to know some extraordinary people, Teddy Bullard, G.I. Taylor, Harold Jeffreys, and others. And I fell for a Cambridge-like existence, heavily based on individual scholarship. By the time I returned to Scripps I was uncomfortable with our emphasis on coordinated big projects.5 I thought seriously about an offer at chairing Geophysics (or whatever it was called) at Harvard. Judith said, “Why don’t you stop bitching and start a new group here?” She added, “And I will build you the laboratory.”

---

5 As it turned out thirty years later, I was to be the organizer of the then largest Scripps project, the Heard Island Feasibility Test.
Chapter 11

Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics (IGPP) 1962–Present

Hasselmann: And exactly how did things get started with the IGPP?

It was Roger Revelle, as always. There had been an offer from Bob Shrock, chairman of Geology at MIT, which I was about to accept. There was another offer from Harvard. Roger listened to my objections (which he did not share) about large projects and then said, “Is there anything you could do in Cambridge, Massachusetts that you could not do in La Jolla?”

Hasselmann: So you tried to create a bit of Cambridge in La Jolla?

11.1 The Cambridge Connection

Munk: You might put it that way. By the time IGP (Institute of Physics) was founded (it became IGPP when Gordon MacDonald added Planetary Physics) Judith and I had spent two Guggenheim Fellowships in Cambridge, England, 1955 and 1962. There was to be a third Cambridge Sabbatical at Churchill College in 1981, and in 1986 I received a Cambridge Honorary Degree. Cambridge has played a major role in our lives. And my mother spent the happiest two years of her life playing

---

1 Prince Philip, Chancellor of Cambridge University, officiated at the ceremony. The careers of the recipients were traditionally reviewed at some length in Latin, often evoking loud laughter. I recall one instance when Prince Philip and I glanced at each other, and then joined in the laughter. Evidently we were the only ones present who did not understand Latin. The night before we had been at a small dinner party at a Master’s Lodge where Judith sat next to Prince Philip and talked about sailing races for the handicapped. We had walked over from the Garden House Hotel and I was surprised there was no sign of any security presence when we walked up to the Lodge to ring the bell. Prince Philip opened the door with the words, “I bet you want a drink.” We had met in 1983 when the Queen and Philip visited the Scripps Institution. Director William Nierenberg attended the Queen, and I was assigned the pleasant task of showing the Institution to Prince Philip. Nierenberg had decided that he should be briefed on the subject of climate (this was many years before climate had become a subject of public interest). I took Prince Philip to the office of
hockey at Newnham College from 1912–1914, but had to return to Austria when WWI started. At that time it was most unusual for girls from the Continent to study in the UK. Her major was in botany, and her tutor was Harold Jeffreys, then a Reader in Botany.²

Hasselmann: You have told me that you have three heroes: Sverdrup, Revelle, and G.I. Taylor. Did you meet Taylor at that time?

Munk: Yes. The three have been my role models: my teacher Sverdrup, my mentor Revelle, but if you have to use the word “genius,” Taylor is the one. I can imagine writing some of the papers Harald has written, or fighting some of Roger’s battles, but G.I. . . . , never. Whether it had to do with wind shear, turbulence, a mushroom anchor, crystal dislocation, a combination of brilliant insight plus careful laboratory measurements would yield fundamental truths. In 1986 I participated in a celebration of his hundredth birthday (he was then ten years gone) and said that everything G.I. had touched turned to gold. Not so, said George Batchelor, who took me to an attic which stored folders upon folders of work that G.I. had abandoned. Evidently if an idea did not yield significant results, theoretically or experimentally, within a month, G.I. would set it aside. Letting things go is not a talent I share.³

von Storch: I have heard you speak of Sir Edward Bullard as one of the people who made IGPP what it is.

Munk: Yes, Teddy played a major role. In his later life he spent winters at IGPP and he died in La Jolla in 1980. By the time I met him, he had truly transformed the field of geophysics. In the early 1940’s all the major geophysical tools, based on seismology, gravity, magnetism and geothermal heat flow, had been developed for use on land, and their application for use at sea was considered to be impossibly difficult. Bullard played a significant role in the adoption of all four methods for work at sea; in the cases of heat flow and magnetism he played the leading role.

Professor Jerome Namias, a pioneer in climate science, and was waiting outside the door for what I expected to be a short discussion. But of course Namias could not stop talking about his favorite subject. An hour later Prince Philip came out wiping his brow, “I’m glad we don’t have to worry about climate in Britain, we already know it’s going to be beastly.”

In 1997 during the last trip of the Royal Yacht Britannia, an official reception was held in Hong Kong. My brother Alfred, who had a distinguished career as an economist with Standard Oil of Indiana and rather looked down on his brother’s impoverished academic career, was visiting there and attended the reception. When his name was announced, Prince Philip asked, “Are you related to Professor Munk?” Things have never been the same.

² Mother was with us in 1955 when Sir Harold came for tea. He took one long look at mother and said “Brunner” (her maiden name).
³ G.I. once took me to dinner at Trinity College, and spoke of some recent work by Michael Longuet-Higgins on the role of collimation in turning a confused, offshore sea into coherent long-crested breakers. As wave crests turn parallel to shore by wave refraction in shallow water, the subtended angular beam is narrowed and the waves become more “long-crested.” “I don’t think that’s the whole story,” G.I. said. He had in mind the non-linear capture of short waves by longer, faster waves coming from behind. The problem is still under consideration.
Today all these methods are routinely used at sea, and in fact their most successful application has been at sea, not on land. Part of the reason for this reversal is, I think, because the deep sea is such a benign environment, so well thermostated. But more important, because the secrets of plate tectonics were hidden under the deep sea and had to be unraveled by the geophysical measurements at sea. Land geologists could have banged their hammers on rocks for 10,000 years without having a clue about the dynamics of continents and ocean basins.

Nearly all the members of the Bullard Laboratory on Madingley Rise have spent time in La Jolla; and nearly all of us at IGPP have spent some time at the Bullard Laboratory. Robert Parker, one of our most distinguished faculty members, is a Bullard product.

_Hasselmann: What was your personal interaction with Bullard?_

Munk: It was so much fun interacting with him. At one time I had just written the introduction to a volume dedicated to Bullard when he came up for another honor, and I was again asked to summarize his career. I told Teddy I was tired of writing about him; would he not enjoy saying what he thought was really important in his career, and I would submit it under my name. He wrote an essay about the things he remembered, such as coming back from the War and having to wipe the filthy floor in his Cambridge Laboratory. The manuscript was returned some months later with most of it crossed out in thick red ink. I protested to the Editor who replied, “We could not possibly publish what you had sent, Sir Edward would be gravely
offended.” I prevailed, and the essay was published. Teddy got such a kick out of this that he eventually spilled the beans.

When we were about to move into the IGPP Laboratory, the University architects attached four-digit numbers to each of the offices. But who wants to sit in room 4257? For identification, we attached photographs of leading geophysicists on the doors. One of them is of Teddy in the nude (Fig. 11.1), doing magnetic measurements in Africa. I asked him if he would mind the use of his picture, he said “No, as long as I do not have to sit behind it.” In reply to your question, Hans, IGPP indeed carries Teddy’s imprint, but not so much because of his very considerable intellectual achievements, but because he was so much fun.  

Hasselmann: What about your students?

Munk: They are an indispensable part of IGPP history. Let me mention two students who became life-long friends. Jim Cairns came to us from the Point Loma Navy Laboratory and received his degree in Physical Oceanography in 1974. From the very start he was pre-occupied with electric connectors in an ocean environment. Existing connections were made in an air environment protected by a leak-proof housing built to withstand large exterior pressures. Jim asked, “Why not make the connections in non-conducting fluid at ambient pressure?” and spent his life designing wet-mateable connectors. In 2009 when he sold Ocean Design Inc. (ODI) to Teledyne, it held virtually a global monopoly in underwater electric connectors. Judith and I had invested $10,000 in Jim in the early 1980’s when he was dirt-poor. Last year upon the sale of ODI I retrieved a million dollars. We have stayed in close contact. I recently had a splendid visit with Jim in a 12th century nunnery near Urbano, Italy, which Jim and his wife restored for their residence.

Another student, Giuseppe Notarbartolo di Sciara, had been introduced to us by my Italian cousins. In 1974 when he first came to Scripps to study marine biology, he stayed with us for a few days while he was looking for lodging. As it turned out, he was to stay with us for seven years, until he received his degree in 1980. The Notarbartolo’s played a distinguished role through centuries of Sicilian history. When Giuseppe arrived he was an advanced diver and held a captain’s license. While working alone from a small boat off the coast of Baja California collecting data on manta rays, the “beautiful flying saucers in the Sea of Cortez” as he called them, his boat caught on fire and sank. Giuseppe barely made it to shore in a dingy, saving only his passport and a draft of his dissertation (the dingy is featured in the Seiche garden). He discovered a new species of manta rays (Fig. 11.2), which he named in

4 This gives me the opportunity of recalling something that did not happen (Fig. 11.3). Teddy and I were driving through the English countryside when we passed a farm with a most remarkable contraption; a large piece of machinery with a collection of wheels and cylinders on all sides. We could not think of any possible function. Teddy took a careful photograph. At the time Members of the National Academy of Sciences could publish in the Academy Proceedings without review. Our intent was to submit a joint article with the photograph as Fig. 11.3 and legend “see text,” but no further reference to the figure.
Fig. 11.2 *Mobula munkiana*, a species of manta rays discovered by Giuseppe Notarbartolo di Sciara in the Gulf of California in 1979

my honor, *Mobula munkiana*, and best of all asked me to best man at his wedding. Last year I spent a week at his home on the Greek island of Patmos.

A highlight of my career has been the time spent with my students as advisor on their Ph.D. committee, as participant in their adventures in research, and ultimately as their colleague and friend. Starting in 1949: William Van Dorn, Charles (Chip) Cox, Gordon Groves, Earl Gossard, June Pattullo, Arthur Maxwell, John Knauss, Gaylord Miller, Brent Gallagher, Mark Wimbush, James Irish, James (Jim) Cairns, Gordon Williams, Peter Worcester, John Spiesberger, Mike Brown, Donald Altman,
von Storch: *Let's get back to IGPP. How did you get started?*

Munk: We started as a component of a state-wide IGP centered at UCLA, formed with the encouragement of Sverdrup and Rossby. There was an immediate problem as to whom I was to report to, UCLA director Louis Slichter, or Scripps director Roger Revelle. I remember a tense discussion between the two Directors. My loyalty clearly went to Scripps, but the problem never quite went away. At one time, Nobel Chemist Willard Libby who had succeeded Slichter as director, came to La Jolla to order me to report to him, and to take steps towards taking over some of Scripps, since Oceanography was a subset of geophysics, and not the other way around.

von Storch: *What was your intended mission?*

Munk: We never came even near to writing a “mission statement.” But it as a matter of sheer luck that forming our geophysical laboratory within an oceanographic institute pretty well overlapped with the plate-tectonic revolution. That gave us a general
direction. I spent my time on appointments and promotion and on very little else; very little on what is usually called Administration and certainly not on money matters. This permitted me to go on with research and teaching for the 23 years I served as Director of the La Jolla Laboratories of IGPP (1959–1982). I believe that IGPP faculty and researchers regarded me as a colleague rather than as Director, a crucial distinction. And so it has been with successive Directors.

Hasselmann: Well, that I can only confirm. I came to IGPP in 1961, just after it was created, and found the atmosphere extremely stimulating and exciting. In fact, I found your description of how Cambridge inspired you to create an institute founded on individual scholarship quite interesting, as I had exactly the opposite impression when I visited Cambridge after having spent some years at IGPP. I found Cambridge pleasant, but rather uninspiring in its tradition-bound, rather reserved individualism, in contrast to the excitement of the many seminars, discussions and spaghetti-and-wine parties in your home in those first years of IGPP. And it was essential, of course, for the spirit of IGPP that the director was having as much fun as everybody and was participating in a team effort.

When I became director of the Max Planck Institute of Meteorology in Hamburg in 1975, after experiencing other institutions as Doherty Professor at the Woods Hole Oceanographic and director of the Geophysics Institute of Hamburg University, it was always IGPP that I turned to as my role model of how to run an institute. Fortunately, the Max Planck Society has the same philosophy as yours, the directors should be relieved of administrative duties (with the support of the central Max Planck administration in Munich) and should be free to do good research. But how exactly did you go about making the appointments?

Munk: In a very unstructured way. Let me give you one example. I met Klaus Hasselmann at the Ocean Wave Conference in Easton in 1961, where Klaus presented his solution to the nonlinear interactions between wave components. Klaus introduced his talk with the following statement, ‘Basically, I solved this problem to relieve my frustrations at not being able to solve the turbulence problem.’ The room was filled with people who had for years tried to solve the wave interaction problem, and they were not exactly ecstatic with this statement of a twenty-nine year old. Life was simple in the early sixties, and I was able to offer Klaus an Assistant Professorship before the conference had closed.

Hasselmann: That is not exactly what I had in mind when I asked of how you went about making appointments.

Munk: Roger allotted us 4.92 FTE’s (Full Time Faculty Equivalents), and by sharing some of these with appointments on the newly formed upper campus of UCSD we

---

5 It was extremely fortunate that we came to maturity during the heydays of a basic science oriented Office of Naval Research. One day I received $50,000 in excess of a proposal to ONR for my personal research. I called my project officer, who said, “Yes, I know, we thought you could use some extra support for starting the new Institute.”
were well on the way. (I have no idea where the 4.92 number came from.) Among the early appointments were George Backus who came from MIT, Freeman Gilbert from Texas Instruments, and John Miles from UCLA (via Australia). All of them eventually became members of the National Academy of Sciences. Some other appointments were not so good.

We never made our appointments in a structured way. We had no formal committees to choose candidates. We were looking for that person with that extra spark. I was very much under the Cambridge influence: Bullard, Jeffreys, Taylor. And I remembered a story, possibly apocryphal, about C.-G. Rossby, the leading meteorologist of his time. Anyway our process of appointment was unstructured, and we were very, very lucky.

Hasselmann: Well, maybe it was luck, and maybe it was good instincts and judgment. This is, of course, the risk you take when appointments are made without the encumbrances of committees. We have the same uncomplicated procedures at Max Planck.

Munk: Years later Judith and I were reading about the resignation of the then President of the University of California. He was asked by a reporter, “What made you think of resigning at this time?” The reply, “I thought I had better think of it before others think of it.” We took a long look at each other. Next week I invited all of IGPP to lunch and announced that Freeman Gilbert would take over. I had arranged to go to sea that very afternoon, and by the time I returned everything was going smoothly. Freeman was succeeded by John Orcutt (former Annapolis graduate), then Bob Parker (Bullard product), Cathy Constable, and today Guy Masters. If I may brag a little, I count eight of us at IGPP who are (or were) members of the National Academy or the Royal Society, or both. Seeing IGPP develop has been the most rewarding experience of my career.

11.3 Building the Laboratory

Hasselmann: Yesterday we walked up from Scripps Pier on Biological Grade past Scripps Library to come to a sign:

Munk Laboratory ← → Revelle Laboratory

6 Rossby returned to his native Sweden to found a famous Meteorological institute. One of his appointments was P. Welander who had written some of the basic papers on the thermocline formation. As I remember the story, he encountered Welander in the hall, “And how is your father?” Welander replied, “There must be some misunderstanding, my father died many years ago.” It turned out that there were two Welander’s, and Rossby had appointed the wrong one. It was also his best appointment.
and there were the two red-wood buildings, distinct and yet harmonious. You must have been very pleased to have your association with Roger memorialized in this fashion.

Munk: Judith and I were very pleased. The association with the Revelle family is a central theme in our lives. Roger once said he did not care much for having a building named for him, but in fact he was quite pleased.

von Storch: Was it difficult to raise the money?

Munk: Not really. We had a number of Brownie points to start with. The University earmarked $486,000, half the estimated cost, provided we could raise the other half. Next we received $243,000 from the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR), provided we could come up with the remaining half. Next $121,500 from the newly formed National Science Foundation, and then $60,750 from the Fleischmann Foundation of Nevada. You will note the resemblance to a geometric series \(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \ldots\) with a sum of 1 but only after an infinite number of terms. A timid suitor was once asked how he had approached his girlfriend. “Well,” he said, “she let me come half way, and then half the remaining way….” “But then you will never get there,” said the questioner. “But I got close enough for all practical purposes.” We got close enough when we were looking for a remaining $15,000. An officer from the Research Corporation had come all the way to San Diego to decline our proposal because he couldn’t see how $15,000 could possibly make a difference. We did not complain and took him to lunch. By the time his train reached Los Angeles he had changed his mind.

Hasselmann: I am surprised that the Air Force would lend support. I thought your connections were entirely with the Navy.

Munk: That goes back to the very start of our discussion when we spoke about oceanographers and seismologists being unfamiliar with power spectra. I hope my memory serves me right in the following account. The United States was negotiating a nuclear test ban with the Soviet Union, and there was a need for an appropriate monitoring system. Edward Teller was afraid of the Russians decoupling the explosions in underground cavities. America had proposed the “Geneva Network,” consisting of pen and ink (!) recording seismometers of appropriate sensitivity. The original requirement was in terms of millimeter of pen displacement per kiloton of explosive energy at some specified range. At one point President Eisenhower had to withdraw from the agreement he had already signed because (if I remember the words) “… he had been misinformed by his scientists.” I served on a second committee under AFOSR sponsorship which redefined the requirements in terms of a signal-to-noise ratio. One Sunday morning the Director of AFOSR, with two young Colonels on his sides (looking more like college students than senior officers) came down the steps to our house, “I understand you would like to start a new Institute….”

---

7 I had met AFOSR Director some years earlier when I was looking for an opportunity to photograph sun glitter as a means of estimating the probability distribution of sea surface slopes. If
von Storch: And then what happened?

Munk: We chose the site of the old Community House, half way up the cliffs, where I had spent my first summer back in 1939. Some people thought we were too far from the rest of Scripps, then clustered near the foot of the pier. (Today we are nearer the center of Scripps.) There was concern about the stability of the cliff edge. The University architects hired a soil specialist who quoted Scripps Professor Francis Shepard in concluding that “consistent with other considerations” the building should be placed as far to the east as possible. At a crucial meeting I said “it was”; I had run into Francis on the way to the meeting, and he told me that he had changed his mind.

Judith chose an architect, Lloyd Ruocco, who had built some fine residences, but nothing even close to a laboratory. Judith chose him for his taste, and the fact that his best houses were built when there was not enough money (a situation we were in). At first Lloyd was very reluctant to sign a contract with the University. At our weekly planning meetings he would say, “That’s the right way to do it but the University would never buy it.” We prevailed (Fig. 11.4). IGPP was built according to plan, on budget, and in time (Fig. 11.5).

the sea were absolutely calm you would see a single reflection of the solar disk. When roughened by even a slight wind, you see millions of glitter points, each designating a facet with the slope required to reflect the Sun into your eyes. At that time the AFOSR Director had asked, “Are you still looking for a photographic plane? You can have our B17 for six weeks if you are ready by August.” I accepted. Next day Chip Cox came by asking for suggestions for a thesis. The required images were taken over the Alenuihaha Channel between the islands of Hawaii and Maui. Chip, who as born in Hawaii, was shoeless. I remember him in the transparent bubble of the B17 leaning forward to select a site and triggering the camera with his naked toes. The thesis became one of the most cited papers of the Scripps Institution [43]. Later Chip was elected to the National Academy of Sciences.
A serious objection had to do with the choice of redwood for the building material; the University architect worried whether it would be sufficiently permanent (after almost fifty years it is doing just fine). In the early 1970’s it was fashionable to equip laboratories with movable walls. Judith found them ugly and expensive, and preferred to allow for rare changes by knocking out a few two-by-fours. Our laboratories were originally partitioned into $\frac{2}{3}$ wet space and $\frac{1}{3}$ electronics. The walls have now been moved to allow for $\frac{1}{3}$ wet space and $\frac{2}{3}$ electronics and computing. Among the many objections were the general use of carpets: only the President’s office was so authorized. We got away by calling it “acoustic floor covering.” An important innovation was to face the laboratories toward an open courtyard where equipment could be assembled and tested in portable laboratories, subsequently trucked and secured on the afterdeck of our vessels. Accordingly all Scripps vessels have screw holes on their fantails four foot on center. I believe we were the first to employ portable laboratories, but this is now a widespread procedure for Oceanographic vessels all over the world (Fig. 6.1).
von Storch: By now you have been in the building for half a century. What was your subsequent experience?

Munk: We were originally allowed 16,000 of assignable square feet. When we started running out of space, we built upstairs mezzanine offices into the high ceiling laboratories (disguised as “storage closets”). Judith put me into one of these; it shielded me from occasional visitors to the front office, and it took the wind out of the sails of people who felt cheated for not being assigned one of the more elegant upstairs offices.

It is fair to say that people have liked working in the building and the seminar room overhanging the cliffs is very popular. The Canadian oceanographer Bob Stewart sent his architects to La Jolla when he was planning the Institute of Oceanography in Victoria B.C. The English astronomer Fred Hoyle, a frequent visitor, built an Institute of Astrophysics just north of Churchill College in Cambridge. There is an obvious resemblance to IGPP to both laboratories.

Cecil Green had just retired as President of Texas Instruments to take residence in La Jolla. He was an MIT graduate in Electric Engineering. Later he became President of
Geophysical Services Inc. (GSI), the precursor to Texas Instruments. The 19-story Green Building for geophysical sciences at MIT was dedicated soon after IGPP was built. Cecil came by one evening and said he wanted to give our new laboratory a gift. Judith said at once, “Spring Stirring,” her favorite statue by San Diego sculptor Donal Hord. In the earliest back-of-the-envelope sketches Judith had placed “Spring Stirring” at the IGPP entrance. Cecil wanted to make an instant decision, so we drove to Donal’s house and climbed over the fence (he had retired) and viewed it by flashlight. Cecil loved it, and so “Spring Stirring” has welcomed our visitors ever since. Donal died two years thereafter, and in his will he had left the statue to Judith (Fig. 11.6).

Cecil and Ida Green were constant supporters of our activities (Figs. 11.7 and 11.8). They supported Freeman Gilbert’s global network of digital seismometers (IDA for
International Deployment of Accelerometers). They helped establish the Pinon Flat Observatory above Palm Desert where Jon Berger and others installed a 1 km long laser strain meters. But more important, Cecil felt that we needed more contact with geophysical activities elsewhere, and endowed the Green Scholarship for visitors to IGPP. By now 156 Green Scholars have spent time with us; I would say that they include a majority of workers in our field from all over the world.

Thirty years later we had really run out of space and started planning for the Roger and Ellen Revelle Laboratory of the Cecil and Ida Green Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics (quite a mouthful). Fortunately there was space on the steep hillside across the Biological Grade, on the west side of La Jolla Shores. The challenge was to build the new laboratory in harmony with the old building, but without aping it. We again opted for redwood. By then the University review process has become much more formal, and the plans had to approved by an architectural control committee. The committee was chaired by a San Francisco architect who had been one of Judith’s teachers at Harvard. He said, “You cannot use redwood; by the time you start building the price could go sky-high.” Some days later Judith said, “He is right of course; why don’t we buy the lumber now and let it age for a year.” Fortunately we had the building funds at our control, and we hired a wood specialist in Oregon to select the lumber. At the next meeting, the architect said, “I thought I told you not to use redwood, the price might go sky high” to which Judith replied, “You are right, so we bought it already.” As it turned out, the price of redwood rose precipitously. We had bought somewhat more than required, and sold the balance at a profit.

Klaus, we spent yesterday afternoon walking through the two buildings. Perhaps you would like to comment?

Hasselmann: Well, for me it was quite a nostalgic experience wandering through the beautiful building in which I had worked in the early sixties, just after it had been built, admiring again the elegant seminar room with its distractive view over the Pacific, and gazing out on to the same view from the spacious window of my old office next door. But I must say, the new building on the adjacent hillside is equally harmonious. Although using the same architectural elements, it has its own distinctive style, using the topology of the hillside, with its many trees and spaces for small patios to create a relaxing Japanese atmosphere.

Munk: One challenge for the new building was to provide for a safe connection with Nierenberg Hall and other Scripps buildings on the east side of La Jolla Shores Drive. Judith and I had spent three months in Tasmania (Fig. 8.5) where we fallen in love with a cable-stayed bridge. So we included a cable-stayed footbridge in the design of the new laboratory. At the time there were no such bridges in Western North America. By luck, UCSD’s Dean of the Jacobs School of Engineering, Frieder Seible was an expert on cable-stayed bridges. He said, “Let me build it.” In a recent international collection of the best 200 bridges, ours was included.
When the old building was named the “Judith and Walter Munk Laboratory” I chose to move across the Grade into the “Roger and Ellen Revelle Laboratory.” And there I am today (Fig. 11.9).

_Hasselmann:_ From our discussion it is clear that you took an extraordinary interest in the physical design of the IGPP Laboratories. It is unusual but must be very gratifying for the director of a new institute to become so intimately involved in the details of the building. But, you were, of course, very fortunate in being married to a gifted architect. However, let us turn to a new topic. On a number of occasions you have referred to your relations with the U.S. Navy, and ONR in particular. How did this come about?
Munk: It was Roger again. Cdr. Revelle was one of the founders of the Office of Naval Research (ONR), and he saw to it that the Project Officers were good scientists. Among them was John Knauss who later became the Administrator of NOAA, Art Maxwell who went to Woods Hole, and many others.

von Storch: You are speaking of a period long before the establishment of the National Science Foundation. Were the relations with the funding agency different from what they are now?

Munk: Very different. I would describe the relations then as a partnership between University and ONR oceanographers. ONR project officers were partners in sharing the excitement of discoveries. Sometimes they would go to sea with us. I recall one occasion when we had lost some vital gear overboard and I needed some guidance of how to proceed, so I telephoned my project officer late at night at home. Continuing financial support depended more on achievements in the past than proposals for the future. Of course, there were only a few of us, and the development of personal trust came naturally. I am very fortunate that the formation of ONR overlapped with my career.

von Storch: I would be concerned that this kind of cozy relation you describe is subject to mis-use.

Munk: It is, and there is no easy answer. I personally much prefer to be subject to the judgment of powerful project officers than to a majority committee vote. With time, as the ratio of research demand to support supply increased, it required near-unanimity to have proposals approved by NSF. This meant that daring proposals with significant chance of failure didn’t make it. That is bad for all concerned, bad for the investigator, bad for the funding agency, and bad for oceanography. I feel very strongly about this. Years later Judith and I gave our Kyoto Prize money to Scripps for the benefit of “students engaged in daring research with significant probability of failure,” with the provision that the decision is to be made personally by the Director (Fig. 12.1). And we added that if the director does not have a stomach for taking chances, then “so help us God.”
Fig. 12.1 Emperor Akihito and Empress Kojun welcoming Judith and Walter on the occasion of the Kyoto Prize (1999)

Fig. 12.2 (a) President Ronald Reagan awarding the National Medal of Science to Walter (1984). (b) Vice-President George H.W. Bush congratulating Walter (1984)

von Storch: You are getting off the subject.

Munk: Sorry. I want to add that the ONR model led to a cadre of civilian oceanographers who were informed about Navy problems, who cared, and who could offer
meaningful advice. In times of crisis they were instantly available. When an H-bomb was accidentally dropped off the coast of Spain, the RV Alvin was on its way almost at once. I am afraid that much of this post-war spirit has evaporated over the years.

Hasselmann: We have spoken previously of your Navy-related work. Can you try to summarize it?

Munk: There was a progression from wave prediction for amphibious landings to participation in Bikini and Ivy Mike nuclear tests. As part of my fifty years of membership in JASON (a small group of scientists and engineers advising the Department of Defense) we contributed to the development of non-sound (we called it un-sound) methods of Anti-Submarine Warfare. We have spoken of Ocean Acoustic Tomography; that has a bearing on Navy problems.

von Storch: Did this interfere with your University career of teaching and research?

Munk: Not at all! To the contrary, I owe much of what I have been able to accomplish to this partnership with the Navy, and with ONR in particular. And I have attempted to recognize this debt. When I received the National Medal of Science from President Reagan in 1985 (Fig. 12.2), I invited Adm. Brad Mooney (then Chief of Naval Research) to come with us to the White House. And in 1999 the then Chief of Naval Research, Admiral Paul Gaffney, came to Japan to participate in the Kyoto Prize award.

Hasselmann: I imagine that a significant fraction of the civilian oceanography community will not agree with you. They think it is healthier to maintain a sharp distinction between civilian and military activities in ocean research. At least that is certainly the case in Europe, and particularly in Germany. Probably, this is because in Germany we have a different history regarding the collaboration between science and the military. In America, you have less stigma attached with the past.

Munk: This is the theme of a recent book on “Oceanography and the Cold War” by J. Hamblin. He argues that the close Navy-University cooperation in the post-war era eroded academic freedom, that Oceanographers “lost their innocence.” A review by Munk and Day (261a) takes the opposite position.

von Storch: Walter, throughout your professional life, you have been cooperating with the government of the United States, specifically with the military, both Navy and Air Force. Obviously, you have welcomed this cooperation warmly, ranging from direct consultancy work, such as monitoring for possible tsunamis related to H bomb tests, to more advisory capacities such as in JASON. For somebody who

luckily escaped the Nazis’ terror and brainwashing, with a global outreach, who was an active wheel in the war on fascism, this is understandable. Would you mind explaining to us how you see the military dimension of oceanography in general? Is the U.S. military, as compared to, say, the Russian, South African or Japanese military something special? Do events like My Lai or Abu Guraibh have any bearing on these questions?

Munk: People do terrible things in wartime. No country is excluded. But ONR is very special. You will recall that Roger Revelle, John Knauss, Gordon Lill and other oceanographers had a big hand in starting ONR. These were our colleagues. So I have not associated working for ONR with the atrocities you mention.

von Storch: Your membership in JASON brought you rather close to political decision makers in the United States, right? Are you allowed to tell a bit more about JASON, its history and its mode of operation?

Munk: My association with JASON goes back 48 years. It has played a significant role in some national affairs like the test-ban treaty. JASON wrote an early (I think 1979) and influential report on climate change which was prominently mentioned in a recent BBC program on the history of climate change science. I am proud to be a member. JASON was started by some very distinguished people, Hans Bethe, Lyman Spitzer, John Wheeler, Murph Goldberger, Charlie Townes. Not unlike ONR, the incentive for starting JASON was to keep alive a remarkable wartime collaboration between the military and some members of the University science community. And its membership today is distinguished. I don’t think there is another group like it in the United States.

I was chairman of the UCSD (University of California at San Diego) faculty during the year of student protests against the Vietnam war. When accepting the appointment I made a public statement that my research was supported by ONR and that I would continue working under Navy sponsorship. Others at UCSD kept their relation with the Defense Department to themselves and when subsequently “discovered” they suffered some quite unpleasant indignities, invasions of their laboratory and rude interruptions of their talks. I had many talks with our students about this subject; would it be better if our military had zero relationship with the University community? In summer 1968 when JASON met on the campus of the University of Colorado in Boulder, there was a student protest against the JASON presence on their campus. In fact, during a lunch break some students pushed their way into the classified facility and threw some typewriters on the floor. It turned out that the leader of that student group had applied for admission to the Scripps Institution, so I was spared.

von Storch: In these days, science is again on the main stage of political deliberations and public attention – climate change. People begin to speak of “war on climate change.” Many scientists have become advocates for changes in social organizations and lifestyles. Some even exaggerate in order to increase the perceived
urgency of the matter. You were not engaged in these debates, at least not publicly— 
could you comment on this?

Munk: I am glad you raised this issue. I have worked on some special aspects of 
climate change, especially sea level change [48, 62, 64, 68, 72, 94, 98, 198, 242, 
244, 246, 257]. (i) It is clear that sea level has been rising since long before the 
industrial revolution. (ii) 20th century rise is at the rate of about 15 cm/century with 
5 cm/century from thermal expansion of the oceans and 10 cm/century from the melting of 
continental icecaps. (iii) The rate may now be double this, or 30 cm/century, with a small 
and shrinking fraction from thermal expansion. (iv) There have been widespread 
predictions of 1 m by the end of the century. Perfectly possible; in fact coming out 
of the ice ages sea level rose 100 m in 10,000 years, or by an average of 1 m/century. 
But I think the prediction skill is very low. I am impressed that in the last ten years 
there has been a revolutionary change in the underlying understanding of glacial 
ice dynamics. (v) Ocean decadal variability is large and can reverse the trend for 
years at a time. This varies from place to place. Colosi and I looked at 100 years 
of the Honolulu tide gauge and could not pull out a significant trend. Brian Dushaw 
has summarized the last ten years of Ocean Acoustic Tomography in the northeast 
Pacific and does not find a significant warming trend [262]. One would hope that the 
scientists would place a clearer emphasis on the uncertainty of their extrapolations 
and that the public would pay more attention to these uncertainties.

von Storch: If I may bring in my personal opinion, maybe just to give you an op-
portunity to rub against it — we see in the climate issue an example where many 
scientists are beginning to define policy for society. Society is declared to be unable 
to embark seriously on the purportedly only possible course of action (for avoiding 
disaster). To convince society — without overruling formally democratic procedures — 
methods such as exaggeration of risks, downplaying of uncertainties, and other 
relevant dynamics are employed to emphasize the urgency. Many climate scientists, 
who actually are advocates of a political-ideological agenda of making a better 
world, with better people, try to present themselves as “one-handed” scientists, who 
give an unequivocal analysis and advice. My personal view is that we must remain 
“two-handed” professionals, who are admitting that there is always a remaining 
risk of error, even if I consider it with respect to the human origin of most of the 
ongoing warming as miniscule. Scientists are not politicians. The present situation, 
with lots of advocate scientists, is damaging the reputation of science as a valuable 
social institution, who gives advice but not recommendations in politically charged 
situations. The buzz words are post-normal science and honest brokers. Your ex-
perience with JASON was certainly a totally different one than mine — keeping in 
mind that I am 30 years less old than you, living in a different country. Interestingly, 
among JASON members are some known of being fierce “skeptics” in the climate 
change debate.

Munk: I totally agree, Hans, that we must not let our social preferences get mixed up 
with reporting on science issues. Was not the mixing of these issues the basis of the 
Oppenheimer tragedy. This has been an issue at JASON. One of our members, who
is among the world’s leading scientists, but also among the best-known contrarians, was so bothered by the unanimity of response of the International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) that he felt driven to a negative position on climate change (he would rather be wrong than be boring). I have some sympathy for his position but I do not agree. I believe there is clear and convincing evidence for a human contribution to climate change by way of increased atmospheric carbon dioxide. But there are strong contributions from other sources, such as the Ocean Decadal Variability, which can reverse the trend for many years at a time. John Colosi and I looked at a century of Honolulu Sea Level [257] and could not detect a trend over and above decadal variability. On the other side of the climate issue, there are so many who do not tolerate any doubts about the prevailing theory. If you express doubts about some conclusion you are declared an enemy of the planet.

Hasselmann: I know your critical view of the so-called unanimity of IPCC, Hans, but I really disagree with you and perhaps Walter on this point. I regard IPCC as giving a really balanced consensus view on the climate problem, including the many uncertainties involved. It is true that individual scientists sometimes overstate the problem, but that really cannot be said of IPCC. And it is also true that unqualified people (the “climate skeptics”) often create unnecessary noise in the debate, which is then happily amplified by the media. There is unfortunately nothing more boring for the media than to repeatedly report on the pending dangers of climate change, which scientists have been warning of using the same words for decades. So the media are happy for any controversial view, regardless of its scientific basis. And the debate is then happily exploited by interest groups. I feel very strongly that scientists (including JASON) should recognize their responsibility in not fuelling interest groups via the media simply to attract some attention.

von Storch: What do you think about the role, or mission, of science in the society? Science is an expensive exercise—what is, or should be, the rationale for a society to engage in such? Is it the promise of more Teflon pans? What is in your opinion the role of science in advising, influencing, or shaping policy? Is this different in different cultures and nations? How have you dealt with this question?

Munk: It makes a big difference. JASON, for example, flourished during the Kennedy era, when we had contact at the highest level of the administration. JASON languished under the George W. Bush administration. We have had a renaissance of activity since the election of President Obama.

von Storch: The media. I consider this social institution a relevant independent check among the different social powers. While many scientists consider journalists as mostly people, who have to faithfully report, maybe in popular formulations, about the truth released by scientists. But, in reality, journalists do not do that, at least good journalists. Instead they try to tell the full “story,” of which the scientists account is just one angle. Therefore, many scientists are frustrated about their contacts with the media. I for my part, I may say that I have been treated fairly or professionally by journalists, with the exception of the BBC, who misquoted me once. How were your experiences with the media?
Munk: Earlier in this discussion I have mentioned the front page article in the *Los Angeles Times* (later retracted) that Acoustic Tomography would lead to the death of 750,000 California Gray Whales (the entire population). This led directly to a widespread opposition to our work which has never quite gone away. Except for that case (a very important one in my career) I have been treated more than fairly by the media.

von Storch: In 1945 Vannevar Bush published his report *Science – The Endless Frontier*, which described that in return for the privilege of receiving public support, the researcher was obligated to “produce and share knowledge freely to benefit – in mostly unspecified and long-term ways – the public good.” Specifically he asserted, “The centers of basic research... are the wellsprings of knowledge and understanding. As long as they are vigorous and healthy and their scientists are free to pursue the truth wherever it may lead, there will be a flow of new scientific knowledge to those who can apply it to practical problems in Government, in industry, or elsewhere.” And in 1998, more than fifty years later, a committee of the U.S. House has a resolution, “The United States has been operating under a model developed by Vannevar Bush in his 1945 report. It continues to operate under that model with little change. This approach served us very well during the Cold War....”

Do you think that this Vannevar Bush doctrine, if I may call it so, is still adhered to, at least in the U.S., or has it been replaced by a utility-driven philosophy of more management, top-down control and more immediate, predictably useful results? If so, have you observed a change from Vannevar Bush to this utility-driven research.

Munk: I believe that there are two related principles to the Vannevar Bush doctrine: A: in return for public support, the researcher must share his results with the public, and B: scientists are free to pursue the truth wherever it may lead. I would think that scientists everywhere aspire to these ideals. In the last eight years there have been reports of suppression, or at least alterations, of results when they did not suit the sponsor. Our fervent hope is that all this is now over. As for myself, for the last seventy years, working largely under ONR sponsorship, I have never felt the slightest pressure of directing my work in a direction other than the direction I chose. In the mid sixties when we first proposed to measure deep sea tides, a program officer did come back with the comment that the subject of ocean tides had “gone to bed with Victorian mathematicians.” As it turned out, the subject has since enjoyed a renaissance.
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Hasselmann: Perhaps it is time to come to an end with our prolonged discussion. We started with your early work on ocean surface waves, and its extension to ever lower frequencies. More recently you referred to the use of sound waves for measuring the warming of ocean basins. What are you doing now?

Munk: I flunked retirement. Since 1985 I have had the support of a “Secretary of the Navy Chair in Oceanography” which has given me complete freedom to pursue subjects of my choice (Fig. 13.1).

Fig. 13.1 Navy Chairs with Admiral Carr, Chief of Naval Research (2009). (Left to right: Michael Gregg, Rob Holman, Frank Herr, Admiral Carr, Tom Sanford, Walter Munk, Tommy Dickey, Arthur Baggeroer, William Kuperman. Not shown: John Orcutt and Robert Weller)
Fig. 13.2 IUGG (International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics) Symposia in Vienna, Austria (1991). Walter took a bus load of colleagues to Schloss Ziegersberg, his cousin’s summer residence south of Vienna. They are pictured having lunch just outside the 12th century Ruine Ziegersberg.

Fig. 13.3 Celebrating Walter’s 90th birthday hosted by three former students (Paola Rizzoli, Peter Worcester, and Jim Cairns) in Perugia, Italy (2007). (Peter and his wife, Donna, first and third from the left; Mary Revelle-Paci and her son, Stefano, second and fourth from the right)
v. Storch: I can see where you get your prejudices.

Munk: Guilty!

I like to think back of the occasional IUGG meetings held at different international sites (Figs. 13.2 and 13.3); these gave us the opportunity to review our work with our colleagues abroad.

For the last two years I have spent most of my time on surface waves of high frequency, short gravity waves and capillaries. Fifty years ago I worked with Chip Cox (his dissertation) on using photographs of sun glitter to infer the probability of sea surface slopes [54]. If the sea were glassy calm you would see a single image of the sun. Even at slight winds this is spread to a pattern of thousands of glitter points. Each glitter point represents a facet (possibly quite small) with the slope appropriate for reflecting the sun into the camera lens. The outer edges of the glitter pattern define the largest slopes and these increase with increasing wind speed. In 1956 we derived the slope statistics from 28 images taken by B-17 aircraft flying over the Alenuihaha Channel between the islands of Maui and Hawaii. In 2006 Bréon and Heriot derived the slope statistics from 8 million glitter images taken from satellite and distributed globally. I have been trying to understand the Bréon and Heriot data [260].
Fig. 13.5 Celebrating Walter’s 65th birthday, a surprise party arranged by Chris Garrett and Carl Wunsch (19 October 1982)

There is an enormous literature on the wave height (or energy) spectrum. This is associated with comparatively long waves, say 10 m and longer; they are the ones that make you seasick. Little is known about waves, shorter than 1 m (say). It is the shorter waves that make up the slope spectrum. These short waves are perhaps more important from an oceanographic point of view than the much studied longer components of the ocean wave spectrum. They are responsible for much of the wind drag. It is the wind drag that keeps the ocean from being a stagnant smelly pool.

_Hasselmann: So you think that the satellite glitter images could lead to a better understanding and more accurate measurements of wind drag?_

Munk: Yes. Time for a drink! (Figs. 13.4 and 13.5)
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Of course, the story does not end with a drink. Walter ended the talk he gave on the occasion of his 90th birthday symposium (mentioned in our preface) with the remark, “I do not fully understand all of this – but I will!” And indeed he did, as documented by a number of papers in press or published [260–263] on this and related subjects since this book went to press.

It was therefore no surprise that Walter’s continuous creative productivity over 70 years received yet another recognition in January 2010 with the award of the prestigious Crafoord Prize by the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences. Together with the Kyoto prize, which Walter received in 1999, the Crafoord Prize represents one of the most prestigious awards in science after the Nobel Prize.

Hans v. Storch and Klaus Hasselmann
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